arXiv:1409.0160v1 [math.AP] 30 Aug 2014

BV-regularity of the Boltzmann equation in Non-Convex Domains

Y. Guo, C. Kim, D. Tonon, A. Trescases

Abstract

Consider the Boltzmann equation in a general non-convex domain with the diffuse boundary condition. We establish optimal BV estimates for such solutions. Our method consists of a new $W^{1,1}-$trace estimate for the diffuse boundary condition and a delicate construction of and an $\varepsilon-$tubular neighborhood of the singular set.

1 Introduction

Boundary effects play an important role in the dynamics of Boltzmann solutions for

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), \tag{1}$$

where $F(t, x, v) \geq 0$ denotes the particle distribution in the phase space $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Throughout this paper, the collision operator takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} Q(F_1, F_2) &:= Q_{\text{gain}}(F_1, F_2) - Q_{\text{loss}}(F_1, F_2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |v-u|^\kappa q_0(\theta) \Big[F_1(u') F_2(v') - F_1(u) F_2(v) \Big] \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}u, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $u' = u + [(v-u)\cdot\omega]\omega$, $v' = v - [(v-u)\cdot\omega]\omega$ and $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$ (hard potential) and $0 \leq q_0(\theta) \leq C|\cos\theta|$ (angular cutoff) with $\cos\theta = \frac{v-u}{|v-u|}\cdot\omega$. We denote the global Maxwellian

$$\mu(v) = \exp\Big(-\frac{|v|^2}{2}\Big).$$

Throughout this paper we assume that Ω is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$. The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is locally a graph of a given C^2 function: for each point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ there exist $r > 0$ and a C^2 function $\eta : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, upto a rotation and relabeling, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Omega \cap B(x_0; r) &= \big\{ x \in B(x_0; r) : x_3 = \eta(x_1, x_2) \big\}, \\ \Omega \cap B(x_0; r) &= \big\{ x \in B(x_0; r) : x_3 > \eta(x_1, x_2) \big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

[0] Last update : September 2, 2014

The boundary of the phase space $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$\gamma := \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3\}. \tag{4}$$

We denote $n = n(x)$ the outward normal direction at $x \in \partial\Omega$. We decompose γ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_- &= \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v < 0\}, \qquad (\textit{the incoming set}),\\
\gamma_+ &= \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v > 0\}, \qquad (\textit{the outgoing set}),\\
\gamma_0 &= \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v = 0\}, \qquad (\textit{the grazing set}).
\end{aligned}$$

In general the boundary condition is imposed only for the incoming set γ_- for general kinetic PDEs. We consider *the diffuse boundary condition* in this paper: for $(x, v) \in \gamma_-$

$$F(t, x, v) = c_\mu \mu(v) \int_{n(x)\cdot u>0} F(t, x, u)\{n(x) \cdot u\}\mathrm{d}u, \tag{5}$$

with $c_\mu \int_{n(x)\cdot u>0} \mu(u)\{n(x) \cdot u\}\mathrm{d}u = 1$.

Despite extensive developments in the study of the Boltzmann equation, many basic questions regarding solutions in a physical bounded domain, such as their regularity, have remained largely open. This is partly due to the characteristic nature of boundary conditions in kinetic theory: Consider the simple transport equation $v \cdot \nabla_x f(x, v) = 0$ with the given boundary condition $f|_{\gamma_-} = g$. Then we solve $f(x, v) = g(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v), v) = g(x - t_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v)v, v)$ where $t_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v)$ is the *backward exit time* defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v) &:= \sup(\{0\} \cup \{\tau > 0 : x - sv \in \Omega \text{ for all } 0 < s < \tau\}),\\
x_{\mathbf{b}}(v) &:= x - t_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v)v.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Similarly the *forward exit time* $t_{\mathbf{f}}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\mathbf{f}}(x, v) &:= \sup(\{0\} \cup \{\tau > 0 : x + sv \in \Omega \text{ for all } 0 < s < \tau\}),\\
x_{\mathbf{f}}(v) &:= x + t_{\mathbf{f}}(x, v)v.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since $x_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v)$ has singular behavior (even not continuous) if $n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v)) \cdot v = 0$, we expect f might be singular on *the singular set*:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}} := \{(x, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x, v)) \cdot v = 0\}, \tag{8}$$

which is the collection of all the characteristics emanating from the grazing set γ_0.

In [4], it is shown that in convex domains, Boltzmann solutions are continuous away from the grazing set γ_0. On the other hand, in [6], it is shown that the singularity (discontinuity) does occur for Boltzmann solutions in a non-convex domain, and such singularity propagates along the singular set $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$. Very recently the authors were able to establish weighted C^1 estimates in convex domains for all basic boundary conditions. The main purpose of this paper is to establish the first BV regularity estimate for the Boltzmann solution in non-convex domains.

We denote $||\cdot||_\infty$ the $L^\infty(\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm, while $||\cdot||_p$ is the $L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm. We denote $|\cdot|_p$ the $L^p(\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3, \mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v)$ and $|\cdot|_{\gamma,p}$ the $L^p(\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3) = L^p(\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3, \mathrm{d}\gamma)$ norm where $\mathrm{d}\gamma = |n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v$ with the surface measure $\mathrm{d}S_x$ on $\partial\Omega$. We write $|\cdot|_{\gamma_\pm,p} = |\cdot \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_\pm}|_{\gamma,p}$. For a function f on $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$, we denote f_γ to be its trace on γ whenever it exists.

A function $f \in L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ has *bounded variation* in $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$ if

$$\sup\Big\{ \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} f\mathrm{div}\varphi \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v : \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3),\ |\varphi|\le 1\Big\} < \infty.$$

We define

$$||f||_{BV} := ||f||_{L^1(\Omega)} + ||f||_{\tilde{BV}},$$

where

$$||f||_{\tilde{BV}} := \sup\Big\{ \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} f\mathrm{div}\varphi \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}v : \varphi \in C^1_c(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3),\ |\varphi|\le 1\Big\} < \infty.$$

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with C^2 boundary $\partial\Omega$ as in (3). Assume that $0\le\kappa\le 1$ in (2), $F_0 = \sqrt{\mu}f_0 \ge 0$, $f_0 \in BV(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty < +\infty$ for $0<\theta<\frac{1}{4}$. Then there exists $T = T(||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty) > 0$ such that $F = \sqrt{\mu}f$ solves the Boltzmann equation (1) with the diffuse boundary condition (5) and $f \in L^\infty([0,T];BV(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\nabla_{x,v}f\mathrm{d}\gamma$ is a Radon measure on $\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$.*

Moreover, for all $0\le t\le T$,

$$||f(t)||_{BV} \lesssim_{t,\Omega} ||f_0||_{BV} + P(||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty), \tag{9}$$

for some polynomial P and $\nabla_{x,v}f_\gamma$ is a Radon measure σ on $\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $|\sigma(\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)| \lesssim ||f_0||_{BV} + P(||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty)$.

We remark that the result holds even without any size restriction for the initial datum. On the other hand, if $||e^{\theta|v|^2}g_0||_\infty \ll 1$ for $F_0 = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}g_0 \ge 0$, then Theorem 1 holds for $g(t)$ for all $t\ge 0$. Moreover the BV regularity (even in the bulk) is the best regularity we can expect. The reason is that in general the singular set $\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B}$ is a co-dimension 1 subset in the phase space $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 1. *Assume that the domain Ω is non-convex: there exists at least one point $x_0\in\partial\Omega$ and $u\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and $(u_1,u_2)\neq 0$ such that (3) and*

$$\sum_{i,j=1,2} u_iu_j\partial_i\partial_j\eta(x_0) < 0, \qquad (\textit{strictly non-convex point}). \tag{10}$$

Then the singular set $\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B}$ is a co-dimension 1 subset of $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover if we restrict the singular set to the characteristics emanating from the strictly non-convex points

$$\big\{(x,v)\in\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B} : (x_\mathbf{b}(x,v),v) \textit{ is a strictly non-convex point}\big\},$$

then this set is a co-dimension 1 submanifold of $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$.

We put the proof of Remark 1 in the appendix. Since discontinuous solutions were constructed for non-convex domains in [6], this remark shows that the Boltzmann solutions are singular on the co-dimensional 1 subset $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$. Then it is standard to conclude that the best possible regularity space is indeed the BV space. Hence Theorem 1 is optimal.

The equation for $f = F/\sqrt{\mu}$ where F solves (1) is

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nu(\sqrt{\mu} f) f = \Gamma_{\mathrm{gain}}(f, f), \qquad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{gain}}(f_1, f_2) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} Q_{\mathrm{gain}}(\sqrt{\mu} f_1, \sqrt{\mu} f_2), \quad \nu(\sqrt{\mu} f_1) f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} Q_{\mathrm{loss}}(\sqrt{\mu} f_1, \sqrt{\mu} f_2). \tag{12}$$

The boundary condition for $f = F/\sqrt{\mu}$ where F satisfies (5) is

$$f(t, x, v) = c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{n(x)\cdot u > 0} f(t, x, u) \sqrt{\mu(u)} \{n(x) \cdot u\} \mathrm{d}u, \qquad \text{on } (x, v) \in \gamma_-. \tag{13}$$

The local-in-time existence of the solution f with $\sup_{0 \le t \le T} ||e^{\theta |v|^2} f(t)||_\infty \lesssim ||e^{\theta' |v|^2} f_0||_\infty$ for $0 < \theta < \theta' < \frac{1}{4}$ is standard (e.g. Lemma 6 in [5]).

We now illustrate the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1. For simplicity we assume that f satisfies (13) but solves the following simpler problem

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \nu f = H, \qquad f|_{t=0} = f_0, \tag{14}$$

with the boundary condition (13), and where $\nu = \nu(t, x, v) \ge 0$, H, and ν are smooth enough. In general solutions f of (14) are discontinuous on $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and (distributional) derivatives do not exist [6].

To take (distributional) derivatives we consider the following problem with some smooth cut-off function $\chi_\varepsilon(x, v)$ vanishing on an open neighborhood of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon + \nu f^\varepsilon &= \chi_\varepsilon H \quad \text{in } (x, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f^\varepsilon|_{t=0} &= \chi_\varepsilon f_0 \quad \text{in } (x, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f^\varepsilon(t, x, v) = \chi_\varepsilon c_\mu \sqrt{\mu(v)} \int_{n(x)\cdot u > 0} & f^\varepsilon(t, x, u) \sqrt{\mu(u)} \{n(x) \cdot u\} \mathrm{d}u, \quad \text{on } (x, v) \in \gamma_-. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Once we can show that f^ε is uniformly bounded in L^∞ and ∂f^ε is uniformly bounded in $L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ then we conclude that f^ε converges to f weak$-*$ in L^∞ and $f \in BV$ solves (14) with (13).

Due to the cut-off χ_ε, the solution of (15) f^ε vanishes on some open subset of $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ containing the singular set $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ defined in (8). Therefore f^ε is smooth. We apply (distributional) derivatives $\partial \in \{\nabla_x, \nabla_v\}$ to the equation and have

$$|\partial_t \partial f^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x \partial f^\varepsilon + \nu \partial f^\varepsilon| \le |\partial f^\varepsilon| + |\partial \nu f^\varepsilon| + |\partial \chi_\varepsilon H| + |\chi_\varepsilon \partial H|.$$

On the other hand at the boundary we use an orthonormal transformation $\mathcal{T}$ in order to remove a $x-$dependence of the integration range: $\{n(x)\cdot u>0\}\mapsto\{(\mathcal{T}^{-1}u)_3>0\}$ (see (17) $\sim$ (21) in [5]). Then derivatives of the boundary terms are bounded as in [5]:

$$|\partial f^\varepsilon|\sim|\partial\chi_\varepsilon|+\frac{1}{|n\cdot v|}\int_{n\cdot u>0}|\partial f^\varepsilon|\{n\cdot u\}\mathrm{d}u+\cdots,\qquad\text{on }\gamma_-.$$

We then apply the energy-type estimate (Green's identity, Lemma 3) and the above boundary control to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\partial f^\varepsilon(t)||_1+\int_0^t|\partial f^\varepsilon|_{\gamma_+,1}+\int_0^t||\nu\partial f^\varepsilon(s)||\mathrm{d}s\\
\lesssim\quad&||\partial\chi_\varepsilon f(0)||_1+\int_0^t|\partial f^\varepsilon|_{\gamma_-,1}+\int_0^t||\partial\chi_\varepsilon H||_1+\text{ ``good terms''}\\
\lesssim_t\quad&\underbrace{||\partial\chi_\varepsilon||_1+|\partial\chi_\varepsilon|_{\gamma_-,1}}_{(A)}+\underbrace{C\int_0^t|\partial f^\varepsilon|_{\gamma_+,1}}_{(B)}+\text{``good terms''}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first main difficulty is to construct a cut-off function χ_ε such that it vanishes on an open neighborhood of $\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B}$ and makes (A) be finite at the same time.

We carefully construct, in Lemma 6, an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ of $\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B}$. More precisely $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ is a collection of $\varepsilon-$tubular neighborhood of forward trajectories emanating from the grazing set γ_0. Also we can show that $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ contains all points whose distance from $\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B}$ is less than ε. Such $\varepsilon-$thickness is important for constructing cut-off functions. In fact we construct cut-off functions χ_ε by standard $\varepsilon-$mollifying function of the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}$. And the $\varepsilon-$thickness guarantees that the cut-off function vanishes around $\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B}$.

Fortunately χ_ε satisfies the desired bound $(A)<\infty$, that is, χ_ε is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,1}$ (Lemma 7 and Lemma 8), whose proofs are delicate. Since χ_ε is a standard $\varepsilon-$mollification of $\mathbf{1}_{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}$ we have $\partial\chi_\varepsilon\sim\partial[1-\chi_\varepsilon]\sim\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^6}\mathbf{1}_{|x|+|v|<\varepsilon})*\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}$. For example a desired estimate for $|\partial\chi_\varepsilon|_{\gamma_-,1}$ is

$$\int_{(x,v)\in\gamma_-,\ |v|\lesssim1}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}(x,v)|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\sim\varepsilon.$$

For fixed x, the velocity $v\in\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ is a collection of $\varepsilon-$tubular neighborhood of forward trajectories, which happens to pass near x and emanates from γ_0. But there could be infinitely many grazing trajectories passing x, which might lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(x,v)\in\gamma_-,\ |v|\lesssim1}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon}(x,v)|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\\
\sim\quad&\{\text{number of grazing at }x\}\times\int_{|v|\lesssim1}\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon-\text{tubular neighborhood}}(v)|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}v\\
\sim\quad&\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Instead we establish a geometric Lemma 9 to show that $|n(x)\cdot v|\lesssim\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ if $(x,v)\in\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. For the proof, we decompose $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ carefully in position and velocity with varying grazing trajectories. We remark that $|\partial\chi_\varepsilon|_{\gamma_+,1}<\infty$ may not be true in general.

The second main difficulty is to control the outgoing term (B). We denote *the (outgoing) almost grazing set*

$$\gamma_+^\delta := \{(x,v) \in \gamma_+ : v \cdot n(x) < \delta \text{ or } |v| > 1/\delta\}, \tag{16}$$

and *the (outgoing) non-grazing set*

$$\gamma_+ \backslash \gamma_+^\delta = \{(x,v) \in \gamma_+ : v \cdot n(x) \geq \delta \text{ and } |v| \leq 1/\delta\}. \tag{17}$$

In fact the $\gamma_+ \backslash \gamma_+^\delta$ contribution can be controlled by the bulk integration and the initial data by the trace theorem. However the γ_+^δ contribution cannot be bounded by the bulk integration nor $\int_0^t |\partial f^\varepsilon|_{\gamma_+,1}$ in the LHS of the energy-type estimate since the constant $C > 0$ of (B) can be large in general.

The new idea is to use the Duhamel formula along the trajectory once again (*Double iteration scheme*) to extract an extra small constant to close the estimate. We evaluate ∂f^ε along the characteristics and use the bound of ∂f^ε on γ_- to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t |\partial f^\varepsilon|_{\gamma_+^\delta,1} \\
= &\int_0^t \int_{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta} |\partial f^\varepsilon(s,x,v)| \{n(x)\cdot v\} \mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}s \\
\sim &\int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \int_{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta} |\partial f^\varepsilon(s - t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), v)| \{n(x)\cdot v\} \mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}v \\
\sim &\int_0^t \int_{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta} \{n(x)\cdot v\} |\partial \chi_\varepsilon(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), v)| \qquad (18) \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta} \frac{n(x)\cdot v}{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v} \int_{n(x_{\mathbf{b}})\cdot u>0} |\partial f^\varepsilon(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),u)| \{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot u\} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}s. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 5, we establish a crucial change of variables $(x,v) \mapsto (x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v)$ with $|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v \lesssim |n(x_{\mathbf{b}})\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_{x_{\mathbf{b}}}\mathrm{d}v$. Clearly (18) is bounded by $|\partial\chi_\varepsilon|_{\gamma_-,1}$. For (19) we use Lemma 5 to convert $x-$integration into $x_{\mathbf{b}}-$integration and remove the singular factor $\frac{n(x)\cdot v}{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v}$. Furthermore, since $x \in \partial\Omega$ we have $x = x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\mathbf{b}}, -v)$ and $(x,v) \in \gamma_+^\delta$ which implies $(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\mathbf{b}},-v),v) \in \gamma_+^\delta$. Then we can bound the last term by

$$\sup_{x_{\mathbf{b}}\in\partial\Omega} \int \mathbf{1}_{(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\mathbf{b}},-v),v)\in\gamma_+^\delta} \mathrm{d}v \times \int_0^t |\partial f^\varepsilon|_{\gamma_+,1}.$$

Using the covering lemma of [4] (Lemma 4), we are able to extract an extra small constant from $\sup_{x_{\mathbf{b}}\in\partial\Omega} \int \mathbf{1}_{(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\mathbf{b}},-v),v)\in\gamma_+^\delta} \mathrm{d}v$.

2 Preliminary

Lemma 1 ([4, 2]). *If*

$$v \cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) < 0, \tag{20}$$

then $(t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))$ *are smooth functions of* (x,v) *such that*

$$\nabla_x t_{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}{v\cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}, \qquad \nabla_v t_{\mathbf{b}} = -\frac{t_{\mathbf{b}} n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}{v\cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}})},$$
$$\nabla_x x_{\mathbf{b}} = I - \frac{n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}{v\cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}\otimes v, \qquad \nabla_v x_{\mathbf{b}} = -t_{\mathbf{b}} I + \frac{t_{\mathbf{b}} n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}{v\cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}\otimes v.$$

Recall the almost grazing set γ_+^δ defined in (16). We first estimate the outgoing trace on $\gamma_+ \setminus \gamma_+^\delta$. We remark that for the outgoing part, our estimate is global in time without cut-off, in contrast to the general trace theorem.

Lemma 2 (Outgoing trace theorem, [5])**.** *Assume that* $\varphi \geq 0$*. For any small parameter* $\delta > 0$*, there exists a constant* $C_{\delta,T,\Omega} > 0$ *such that for any* h *in* $L^1([0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\partial_t h + v\cdot\nabla_x h + \varphi h$ *lying in* $L^1([0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$*, we have for all* $0 \leq t \leq T$*,*

$$\int_0^t \int_{\gamma_+\setminus\gamma_+^\delta} |h| \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}s \leq C_{\delta,T,\Omega}\left[\, ||h_0||_1 + \int_0^t \left\{ \|h(s)\|_1 + \left\| [\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x + \varphi] h(s) \right\|_1 \right\} \mathrm{d}s \,\right].$$

Furthermore, for any (s,x,v) *in* $[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$ *the function* $h(s+s', x+s'v, v)$ *is absolutely continuous in* s' *in the interval* $[-\min\{t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), s\}, \min\{t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,-v), T-s\}]$*.*

Lemma 3 (Green's Identity, [4, 2])**.** *For* $p \in [1,\infty)$ *assume that* $f, \partial_t f + v\cdot\nabla_x f + \varphi f \in L^p([0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\varphi \geq 0$ *and* $f_{\gamma_-} \in L^p([0,T]\times\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3; \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\gamma)$*. Then* $f \in C^0([0,T]; L^p(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3))$ *and* $f_{\gamma_+} \in L^p([0,T]\times\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3; \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\gamma)$ *and for almost every* $t \in [0,T]$ *:*

$$||f(t)||_p^p + \int_0^t |f|_{\gamma_+,p}^p = ||f(0)||_p^p + \int_0^t |f|_{\gamma_-,p}^p + \int_0^t \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \{\partial_t f + v\cdot\nabla_x f + \varphi f\} |f|^{p-2} f.$$

Lemma 4 (Lemma 17 and Lemma 18 of [4])**.** *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ *be an open bounded set with a smooth boundary* $\partial\Omega$*. Then, for all* $x \in \bar{\Omega}$*, we have*

$$\mathrm{m}_3\{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \ n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v = 0\} = 0, \tag{21}$$

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $N \gg 1$*, there exist* $\delta_{\varepsilon,N} > 0$ *and* $l = l_{\varepsilon,N,\Omega}$ *balls* $B(x_1; r_1), B(x_2; r_2), \cdots, B(x_l; r_l)$ *with* $x_i \in \bar{\Omega}$ *and covering* $\bar{\Omega}$ *(i.e.* $\bar{\Omega} \subset \bigcup B(x_i; r_i)$*), as well as* l *open sets* $\mathcal{O}_{x_1}, \mathcal{O}_{x_2}, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_{x_l} \subset B_N := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |v| \leq N\}$*, with* $\mathrm{m}_3(\mathcal{O}_{x_i}) < \varepsilon$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq l_{\varepsilon,N,\Omega}$*, such that for any* $x \in \bar{\Omega}$*, there exists* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, l_{\varepsilon,N,\Omega}$ *such that* $x \in B(x_i; r_i)$ *and*

$$|v\cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))| \ > \delta_{\varepsilon,N}, \quad \text{for all } v \notin \mathcal{O}_{x_i}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{O}_{x_i} \ \supset \bigcup_{x\in B(x_i;r_i)} \{v \in B_N : |v\cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon,N}\}. \tag{22}$$

Proof. The details of the proof are recorded in [4]. The proof of (21) is due to the Sard's theorem: Fix $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. If $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfies $v \cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) = 0$ and $n(x) \cdot v \neq 0$ then $\frac{v}{|v|}$ is a critical value of the mapping

$$\phi_x : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \phi_x : y \in \partial\Omega \mapsto -\frac{y-x}{|y-x|},$$

If $n(x) \cdot v = 0$ then $\frac{v}{|v|}$ is a critical value of ϕ_x at $y = x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)$. Then by Sard's theorem the Lebesgue measure of such set on $\mathbb{S}^2$ is zero.

Now we fix $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Due to (21) there exists an open set $\mathcal{O}_x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathrm{m}_3(\mathcal{O}_x) < \varepsilon$ and $|v \cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))| \neq 0$ for $v \notin \mathcal{O}_x$. By Lemma 1, $v \mapsto v \cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))$ is smooth on the compact set $\{\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{O}_x\} \cap B_N$. Then by the compactness we have a positive lower bound $2\delta_{\varepsilon,N,x} > 0$ of $|v \cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))|$. Then by Lemma 1 again, there exists a ball $B(x; r_x)$ such that for all y in this ball and all $v \in \{\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{O}_x\} \cap B_N$ we have $|v \cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(y,v))| \geq \delta_{\varepsilon,N,x}$. Then we use the compactness of $\bar{\Omega}$ to extract the finite covering which satisfies (22). □

3 New Trace Theorem via the Double Iteration

Proposition 2. *Let $h_0 \in L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Let $(h^m)_{m \geq 0} \subset L^\infty([0,T]; L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^1([0,T]; L^1(\gamma_+, \mathrm{d}\gamma))$ solve*

$$\{\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x + \nu\} h^{m+1} = H^m, \quad h^{m+1}|_{t=0} = h_0, \tag{23}$$

where $\nu = \nu(t,x,v) \geq 0$, and such that the following inequality holds for all $(x,v) \in \gamma_-$

$$\begin{aligned} |h^{m+1}(t,x,v)| \leq\ & C_1 \sqrt{\mu(v)} \Big(1 + \frac{\langle v \rangle}{|n(x) \cdot v|}\Big) \int_{n(x) \cdot u > 0} |h^m(t,x,u)| \mu(u)^{\frac{1}{4}} \{n(x) \cdot u\} \mathrm{d}u \\ & + \Big(1 + \frac{e^{-C_2|v|^2}}{|n(x) \cdot v|}\Big) R^m, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $H^m \in L^1([0,T]; L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3))$ and $R^m \in L^1([0,T]; L^1(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \langle v \rangle \mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}v))$.

Then for all $m \geq 1$, $h^{m+1}_{\gamma_-} \in L^1([0,T]; L^1(\gamma_-, \mathrm{d}\gamma))$ and satisfies, for $t \in [0,T]$ and $0 < \delta \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |h^{m+1}(s)|_{\gamma_-,1} \leq\ & O(\delta) \int_0^t |h^{m-1}(s)|_{\gamma_+,1} + C_\delta ||h_0||_1 \\ & + C_\delta \max_{i=m,m-1} \Big\{ \int_0^t ||h^i(s)||_1 + \int_0^t |\langle v \rangle R^i(s)|_1 + \int_0^t ||H^i(s)||_1 \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Our proof requires the following lemma:

Lemma 5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open bounded set with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$.*

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k : \{(x,v) \in \gamma_+ :\ n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v < -1/k\} &\to \{(x_{\mathbf{b}}, v) \in \gamma_- : n(x_{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot v < -1/k\}, \\ (x,v) &\mapsto \Phi_k(x,v) := (\tilde{x}, v) := (x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), v). \end{aligned}$$

Then Φ_k is one-to-one and we have a change of variables formula for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{n(\tilde{x}) \cdot v < -1/k\}} |n(\tilde{x}) \cdot v| \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}} \ \geq\ \mathbf{1}_{\{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v < -1/k\}} |n(x) \cdot v| \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x.$$

Proof of Lemma 5. Let (x,v), $(x',v') \in \gamma_+$ such that $n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v,\ n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x',v'))\cdot v' < -1/k$. If $\Phi_k(x,v) = \Phi_k(x',v')$ then $v = v'$ and $x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v) = x_{\mathbf{b}}(x',v)$. Since $x = x_{\mathbf{f}}(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v) = x_{\mathbf{f}}(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x',v),v) = x'$ the mapping Φ_k is one-to-one.

Now we prove the change of variable formula. It suffices to consider the small neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ around x. Without loss of generality we may assume $x_3 = \eta(x_1,x_2)$ for some $\eta : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. First we consider the case $n_3(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \neq 0$ so that

$$\tilde{x} = x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v) = (\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2,\tilde{x}_3) = (\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2,\varphi(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2)) \in \partial\Omega,$$

for some function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$.

The change of variable is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}}\mathrm{d}v &= \sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}_1\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}_2\mathrm{d}v \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}} J\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\mathrm{d}v \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}} J\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where J is the Jacobian,

$$J = \left|\frac{\partial(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2,v_1,v_2,v_3)}{\partial(x_1,x_2,v_1,v_2,v_3)}\right| = \left|\begin{array}{cc} \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_1 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_1 \\ \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_2 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_2 \end{array}\right|.$$

By the definition of $x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)$, we have the following identity: $v|x-\tilde{x}| = |v|(x-\tilde{x})$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(x_1-\tilde{x}_1)^2+(x_2-\tilde{x}_2)^2+[\eta(x_1,x_2)-\varphi(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2)]^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= |v| \begin{pmatrix} x_1-\tilde{x}_1 \\ x_2-\tilde{x}_2 \\ \eta(x_1,x_2)-\varphi(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Denote $D = \{(x_1-\tilde{x}_1)^2+(x_2-\tilde{x}_2)^2+[\eta(x_1,x_2)-\varphi(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2)]^2\}$. Directly from (27)

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1)+(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi\Big]D^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_1-|v| & \Big[(x_2-\tilde{x}_2)+(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_2}\varphi\Big]D^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_1 \\ \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1)+(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi\Big]D^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_2 & \Big[(x_2-\tilde{x}_2)+(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_2}\varphi\Big]D^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_2-|v| \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_1 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_1 \\ \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_2 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} v_1D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big((x_1-\tilde{x}_1)+(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{x_1}\eta\big)-|v| & v_1D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big((x_2-\tilde{x}_2)+(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{x_2}\eta\big) \\ v_2D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big((x_1-\tilde{x}_1)+(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{x_1}\eta\big) & v_2D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big((x_2-\tilde{x}_2)+\partial_{x_2}\eta(\eta-\varphi)\big)-|v| \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Direct computations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
J &= \frac{|v| - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big[v_1(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + v_1(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{x_1}\eta + v_2(x_2-\tilde{x}_2) + v_2(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{x_2}\eta\Big]}{|v| - D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big[v_2(x_2-\tilde{x}_2) + v_2(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_2}\varphi + v_1(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + v_1(\eta-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi\Big]} \\
&= \frac{|v|^2 - \big[(v_1)^2 + (v_2)^2 + (v_3)(v_1\partial_{x_1}\eta + v_2\partial_{x_2}\eta)\big]}{|v|^2 - \big[(v_1)^2 + (v_2)^2 + (v_3)(v_1\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi + v_2\partial_{\tilde{x}_2}\varphi)\big]} = \frac{(\partial_{x_1}\eta, \partial_{x_2}\eta, -1)\cdot v}{(\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi, \partial_{\tilde{x}_2}\varphi, -1)\cdot v} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} \times \frac{n(x)\cdot v}{n(\tilde{x})\cdot v}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we use (26) to conclude the proof.

Secondly we consider the case of $n_1(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \neq 0$ or $n_2(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume $n_2(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \neq 0$ so that

$$
\tilde{x} = x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v) = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3) = (\tilde{x}_1, \varphi(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_3), \tilde{x}_3),
$$

for some function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. Notice that (26) still holds with $\tilde{x}_2$ replaced by $\tilde{x}_3$. From the fact $v|x-\tilde{x}| = |v|(x-\tilde{x})$ we have

$$
\{(x_1-\tilde{x}_1)^2 + (x_2 - \varphi(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_3))^2 + [\eta(x_1,x_2) - \tilde{x}_3]^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} = |v| \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \tilde{x}_1 \\ x_2 - \varphi(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_3) \\ \eta(x_1,x_2) - \tilde{x}_3. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)
$$

We define $\tilde{D} = \{(x_1-\tilde{x}_1)^2 + (x_2-\varphi(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_3))^2 + [\eta(x_1,x_2)-\tilde{x}_3]^2\}$.

By direct computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\begin{bmatrix} \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + (x_2-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi\Big]v_1\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |v| & \Big[(x_2-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_3}\varphi + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\Big]v_1\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + (x_2-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi\Big]v_3\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \Big[(x_2-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_3}\varphi + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\Big]v_3\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - |v| \end{bmatrix} \\
&\times \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_1 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_1 \\ \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_3 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\partial_{x_1}\eta\Big]v_1\tilde{D}^{-1/2} - |v| & \Big[(x_2-\varphi) + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\partial_{x_2}\eta\Big]v_1\tilde{D}^{-1/2} \\ \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\partial_{x_1}\eta\Big]v_3\tilde{D}^{-1/2} - |v|\partial_{x_1}\eta & \Big[(x_2-\varphi) + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\partial_{x_2}\eta\Big]v_3\tilde{D}^{-1/2} - |v|\partial_{x_2}\eta \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\det \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_1 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_1 \\ \partial_{x_1}\tilde{x}_3 & \partial_{x_2}\tilde{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{|v|_2\partial_{x_2}\eta - \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\partial_{x_1}\eta\Big]v_1|v|\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{x_2}\eta + \Big[(x_2-\varphi) + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\partial_{x_2}\eta\Big]\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}|v|(v_1\partial_{x_1}\eta - v_3)}{|v|_2 - \Big[(x_1-\tilde{x}_1) + (x_2-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi\Big]|v|v_1\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \Big[(x_2-\varphi)\partial_{\tilde{x}_3}\varphi + (\eta-\tilde{x}_3)\Big]|v|v_3\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{-v_1\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi + v_2 - v_3\partial_{\tilde{x}_3}\varphi}{v_1\partial_{x_1}\eta + v_2\partial_{x_2}\eta - v_3} = \frac{-\big(\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}\varphi, -1, \partial_{\tilde{x}_3}\varphi\big)\cdot v}{\big(\partial_{x_1}\eta, \partial_{x_2}\eta, -1\big)\cdot v} \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|_2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} \times \frac{n(\tilde{x})\cdot v}{n(x)\cdot v}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we use (26) (with $\tilde{x}_2$ replaced by $\tilde{x}_3$) to conclude the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2. It suffices to prove the estimate (25).

Using (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t |h^{m+1}(s)|_{\gamma_-,1} &:= \int_0^t \iint_{n(x)\cdot v<0} |h^{m+1}(s,x,v)||n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s \\ &\lesssim \underline{\int_0^t \iint_{n(x)\cdot v>0} |h^m(s,x,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \iint_{n(x)\cdot v<0} |R^m(s,x,v)|\{1+|n(x)\cdot v|\}\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Clearly the last term is bounded by the RHS of (25).

Focus on the underlined term. Recall the almost grazing set γ_+^δ and the non-grazing set $\gamma_+\backslash\gamma_+^\delta$ in (16) and (17). We split the outgoing part as

$$\gamma_+ \;=\; \gamma_+^\delta \;\cup\; \gamma_+\backslash\gamma_+^\delta.$$

Due to Lemma 2, the non-grazing part $\gamma_+\backslash\gamma_+^\delta$ of the integral is bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \iint_{\gamma_+\backslash\gamma_+^\delta} &\lesssim_{t,\delta,\Omega} \; ||h_0||_1 + \int_0^t \big\{\big|\big|h^m(s)\big|\big|_1 + \big|\big|[\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x + \nu]h^m(s)\big|\big|_1\big\}\mathrm{d}s \\ &\lesssim_{t,\delta,\Omega} \; ||h_0||_1 + \int_0^t ||h^m||_1 + \int_0^t ||H^{m-1}||_1. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

For the almost grazing set γ_+^δ, we claim that the following truncated term with a number $k\in\mathbb{N}$ is uniformly bounded in k as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \iint_{\substack{x\in\partial\Omega,\\ n(x)\cdot v>0}} \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}|h^m(s,x,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}}\{n(x)\cdot v\}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}s \\ &\le O(\delta)\int_0^t |h^{m-1}(s)|_{\gamma_+,1} + C_\delta\Big\{||h_0||_1 + \int_0^t ||h^{m-1}(s)||_1 + \int_0^t ||H^{m-1}||_1 + t|R^{m-1}|_1\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In order to show (31) we use the Duhamel formula of the equation (23) together with (24): for $(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta$ and $\frac{1}{k} < |n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|$

$$\begin{aligned}&|h^m(s,x,v)|\mathbf{1}_{\{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}} \\ \le\;& \mathbf{1}_{\{s<t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}|h_0(x-sv,v)| + \int_{\max\{0,s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}^s |H^{m-1}(\tau,x-(s-\tau)v,v)|\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\{s>t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}C_1\sqrt{\mu(v)}\left(1+\frac{\langle v\rangle}{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|}\right) \\ &\qquad\times\int_{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1>0} |h^{m-1}(s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v_1)|\mu(v_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}\{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1\}\mathrm{d}v_1 \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\{s>t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}\Big(1+\frac{e^{-C_2|v|^2}}{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|}\Big)|R^{m-1}(s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v)|. \end{aligned}$$

We plug this estimate into the left hand side of (31) to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \iint_{\substack{x\in\partial\Omega,\\ n(x)\cdot v>0}} \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\varepsilon\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}|h^m(s,x,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac14}\{n(x)\cdot v\}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}s\\
\le\ &\int_0^t \iint_{\gamma_+^\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}|h_0(x-sv,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac14}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s \qquad (32)\\
+\ &\int_0^t \iint_{\gamma_+^\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}\mu(v)^{\frac14}|n(x)\cdot v|\\
&\qquad\qquad \times\int_{\max\{0,s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}^s |H^{m-1}(\tau,x-(s-\tau)v,v)|\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s \qquad (33)\\
+\ &\int_0^t \iint_{\gamma_+^\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\frac{|n(x)\cdot v|}{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|}\int_{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1>0}\mathbf{1}_{\{s>t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \times|h^{m-1}(s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v_1)|\mu(v_1)^{\frac14}\{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1\}\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s \qquad (34)\\
+\ &\int_0^t \iint_{\gamma_+^\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\{s>t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}\mu(v)^{\frac14}\frac{|n(x)\cdot v|}{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|}\\
&\qquad\qquad \times|R^{m-1}(s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v)|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}s. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of (32): Note that $x\in\partial\Omega$ in (32). Without loss of generality we may assume that there exists $\eta:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $x^3=\eta(x^1,x^2)$. We apply the following change of variables: for fixed $v\in\mathbb{R}^3$,

$$(x^1,x^2;s)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times\{0\le s\le t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}\ \mapsto\ y=(x^1-sv^1,x^2-sv^2,\eta(x^1,x^2)-sv^3)\in\bar\Omega.$$

We compute the Jacobian:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\left(\frac{\partial(y^1,y^2,y^3)}{\partial(x^1,x^2,s)}\right)&=\det\begin{pmatrix}1&0&-v^1\\0&1&-v^2\\ \partial_{x^1}\eta(x^1,x^2)&\partial_{x^2}\eta(x^1,x^2)&-v^3\end{pmatrix}\\
&=v\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\partial_{x^1}\eta\\ \partial_{x^2}\eta\\ -1\end{pmatrix}=v\cdot n\sqrt{1+|\partial_{x^1}\eta|^2+|\partial_{x^2}\eta|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\{v\cdot n(x)\}\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}s=\{v\cdot n(x)\}\sqrt{1+|\partial_{x^1}\eta|^2+|\partial_{x^2}\eta|^2}\mathrm{d}x^1\mathrm{d}x^2\mathrm{d}s=\mathrm{d}y=\mathrm{d}y^1\mathrm{d}y^2\mathrm{d}y^3,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(32)&\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v\int_0^t\mathrm{d}s\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathrm{d}S_x\mathbf{1}_{\{(x,v)\in\gamma_+\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{1/k<|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|\}}|h_0(x-sv,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac14}|n(x)\cdot v|\\
&\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v\int_\Omega\mathrm{d}y|h_0(y,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac14} \qquad (36)\\
&\le||h_0||_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of (33): Considering the region of $\big\{(\tau,s)\in[0,t]\times[0,t]:\max\{0,s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}\le\tau\le s\big\}$,

$$(33)\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v\int_0^t\mathrm{d}\tau\int_\tau^{\min\{t,\tau+t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}\mathrm{d}s\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathrm{d}S_x|H^{m-1}(\tau,x-(s-\tau)v,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac14}|n(x)\cdot v|. \quad (37)$$

Note that $x\in\partial\Omega$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x^3=\eta(x^1,x^2)$ for $\eta:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$. We apply the change of variables: for fixed $v\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\tau\in[0,t]$,

$$(x^1,x^2;s)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times[\tau,\min\{t,\tau+t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}]\ \mapsto\ y\equiv(x^1-(s-\tau)v^1,x^2-(s-\tau)v^2,\eta(x^1,x^2)-(s-\tau)v^3).$$

The Jacobian is $\{v\cdot n(x)\}\sqrt{1+|\partial_{x^1}\eta|^2+|\partial_{x^2}\eta|^2}$ and $\{v\cdot n(x)\}\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}S_x\ \le\ \mathrm{d}y$. Applying the change of variables to (37) to have

$$(33)\le\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_\Omega|H^{m-1}(\tau,y,v)|\mu(v)^{\frac14}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\tau. \quad (38)$$

Estimate of (34): This part is the most delicate among (32)$\sim$(35). Rewrite (34) as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\mathrm{d}s\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathrm{d}S_x\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v_1\ \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\varepsilon\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1>0\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{s>t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|>1/k\}}\\ \times\mu(v_1)^{\frac14}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\frac{|n(x)\cdot v|}{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|}|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1||h^{m-1}(s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v_1)|.\end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

First we apply the following change of variables

$$s\in[0,t]\ \mapsto\ \tilde{s}=s-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\in[0,t], \quad (40)$$

where we have used the fact that s is integrated over $[t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),t]$. Clearly the Jacobian is 1 so that $\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}=\mathrm{d}s$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}(39)\le\int_0^t\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathrm{d}S_x\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v_1\ \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_{\{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta\}}}\ \mathbf{1}_{\{n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1>0\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}})\cdot v|>1/k\}}\\ \times\mu(v_1)^{\frac14}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\frac{|n(x)\cdot v|}{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|}|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v_1||h^{m-1}(\tilde{s},x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v_1)|.\end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Let us denote

$$\tilde{x}:=x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v). \quad (42)$$

Note that since $(x,v)\in\gamma_+$ and $|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v|>1/k$, from Lemma 5, the mapping $(x,v)\mapsto(\tilde{x},v)$ is one-to-one and

$$\begin{aligned}t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\ &=t_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),-v),\\ x\ &=x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)+t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)v=x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)+t_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),-v)v=x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),-v)(-v)\\ &=\tilde{x}-t_{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x},-v)(-v),\end{aligned}$$

and hence we can rewrite the underbraced term in (41) as

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{(x,v)\in\gamma_+^\delta\}} \;=\; \mathbf{1}_{\{0<n(\tilde{x}-t_{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x},-v)(-v))\cdot v<\delta \text{ or } |v|>1/\delta\}}. \tag{43}$$

Now we apply the change of variables of Lemma 5: for $(x,v)\in\gamma_+$ and $|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v))\cdot v| = |n(\tilde{x})\cdot v| > 1/k$, we apply the change of variables

$$(x,v)\mapsto(\tilde{x},v) := (x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v),v). \tag{44}$$

From Lemma 5, the Jacobian is

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial(\tilde{x},v)}{\partial(x,v)}\right) = \det\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{x}}{\partial x}\right) = \left|\frac{n(x)\cdot v}{n(\tilde{x})\cdot v}\right|, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}} := \left|\frac{n(x)\cdot v}{n(\tilde{x})\cdot v}\right|\mathrm{d}S_x.$$

Then from (41) and (43),

$$\begin{aligned}
(39) \le \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{d}v\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}}\big\{\mathbf{1}_{0<n(\tilde{x}-t_{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x},-v)(-v))\cdot v<\delta}+\mathbf{1}_{|v|>1/\varepsilon}\big\}& \\
\times\mathbf{1}_{\{n(\tilde{x})\cdot v_1>0\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{|n(\tilde{x})\cdot v|>1/k\}}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\mu(v_1)^{\frac14}|n(\tilde{x})\cdot v_1|\;|h^{m-1}(\tilde{s},\tilde{x},v_1)|& \\
\le \int_0^t\iint_{\gamma_+}|h^{m-1}(\tilde{s},\tilde{x},v_1)|\mu(v_1)^{\frac14}|n(\tilde{x})\cdot v_1|\mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}}\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}\tilde{s}& \\
\times\underbrace{\sup_{\tilde{x}\in\partial\Omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\{-\delta<n(\tilde{x}-t_{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x},-v)(-v))\cdot(-v)<0\}}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\mathrm{d}v}& \\
+O(\delta)\int_0^t\iint_{\gamma_+}|h^{m-1}(\tilde{s},\tilde{x},v_1)|\mu(v_1)^{\frac14}|n(\tilde{x})\cdot v_1|\mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}}\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}\tilde{s},&
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $O(\delta)\sim\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{|v|>1/\delta}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\mathrm{d}v$.

We claim that, for any small $0<\delta'\ll 1$, we can choose sufficiently small $0<\delta\ll 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\tilde{x}\in\partial\Omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\{-\delta<n(\tilde{x}-t_{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x},-v)(-v))\cdot(-v)<0\}}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\mathrm{d}v\le\delta'. \tag{46}$$

This is consequence of Lemma 4. For given $\delta'>0$, we choose a sufficiently large $N\gg\frac{1}{\delta'}$ and we take $\delta_{\delta',N}>0$ as in Lemma 4. Then we choose a sufficiently small $\delta=\delta(\delta',N)>0$ such that $\delta\ll\delta_{\delta',N}$ in Lemma 4. Due to Lemma 4 and (22),

$$\max_i\sup_{\tilde{x}\in B(x_i;r_i)}\mathrm{m}_3\{v\in\mathbb{R}^3:|v|\le N,\;|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x},-v))\cdot(-v)|\le\delta\}\;\le\;\max_i\mathrm{m}_3(\mathcal{O}_{x_i})\le\delta'.$$

Finally we conclude the claim (46) by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\{-\delta<n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(\tilde{x},-v))\cdot(-v)<0\}}\mu(v)^{\frac12}\mathrm{d}v\\
=\;&\int_{|v|\ge N}+\int_{|v|\le N}\\
\le\;& e^{-N^2/4}+\max_i\mathrm{m}_3(\mathcal{O}_i)\\
\le\;& e^{-\frac{1}{4(\delta')^2}}+\delta'.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (41), (45), (46), we have, for $0 < \delta, \delta' \ll 1$,

$$(34) \lesssim [O(\delta) + O(\delta')] \times \int_0^t \int_{\gamma_+} |h^{m-1}(\tilde{s}, \tilde{x}, v_1)| \mu(v_1)^{\frac{1}{4}} |n(\tilde{x}) \cdot v_1| \mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}} \mathrm{d}v_1 \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}. \tag{47}$$

Estimate of (35): We apply the change of variables (40) and then apply (44) and use Lemma 5 to bound

$$(35) \lesssim \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} |R^{m-1}(\tilde{s}, \tilde{x}, v)| \mathrm{d}S_{\tilde{x}} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tilde{s}. \tag{48}$$

Finally from (36), (38), (47) and (48), we prove our claim (31).

The last step is to pass a limit $k \to \infty$. Clearly the sequence is non-decreasing in k:

$$0 \le \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{k} < |n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v|\}} |h^m(s,x,v)| \le \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{k+1} < |n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v|\}} |h^m(s,x,v)|.$$

We claim, as $k \to \infty$,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{k} < |n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v|\}} \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} |h^m(s,x,v)| \ \to \ \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} |h^m(s,x,v)| \quad \text{a.e. } (x,v) \in \gamma_+ \text{ with } \mathrm{d}\gamma.$$

It suffices to show $\mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{1}{k} < |n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v|\}} \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \to \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ a.e. on γ_+. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \gg \varepsilon^{-1} \gg 1$, choose $k \gg 1$ such that $\frac{1}{k} < \delta_{\varepsilon,N}$ in Lemma 4. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v| > \frac{1}{k}\}}(x,v)\Big] \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\le \max_{1 \le i \le l_{\varepsilon,N,\Omega}} \mathbf{1}_{B(x_i;r_i)}(x) \times \mathbf{1}_{\{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v| \le \frac{1}{k}\}} \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\le \max_{1 \le i \le l_{\varepsilon,N,\Omega}} \mathbf{1}_{B(x_i;r_i)}(x) \times \mathbf{1}_{\{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v| \le \delta_{\varepsilon,N}\}} \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\le \max_{1 \le i \le l_{\varepsilon,N,\Omega}} \mathbf{1}_{B(x_i;r_i)}(x) \times \Big\{ \mathbf{1}_{\{|v| \le N, v \in \mathcal{O}_i\}}(v) \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \mathbf{1}_{|v| \ge N}(v) e^{-\frac{N^2}{16}} \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{8}} \Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\gamma_+} |1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{|n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v| > \frac{1}{k}\}}(x,v)| \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}\gamma \\
&\le \max_{1 \le i \le l_{\varepsilon,N,\Omega}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{n \cdot v > 0} \mathbf{1}_{\{|v| \le N, v \in \mathcal{O}_i\}} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}S_x + O(\frac{1}{N}) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon + O(\frac{1}{N}) \lesssim \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the claim.

Now we use the monotone convergence theorem to conclude

$$\int_0^t \int_{\gamma_+^\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}_{\{1/k < |n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)) \cdot v|\}} |h^m(s,x,v)| \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}s \to \int_0^t \int_{\gamma_+^\varepsilon} |h^m(s,x,v)| \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}s,$$

as $k \to \infty$ and therefore $\int_0^t \int_{\gamma_+^\varepsilon} |h^m(s,x,v)| \mu(v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{d}\gamma \mathrm{d}s$ has the same upper bound of (31). Together with (30) we conclude (25). $\square$

4 $\varepsilon-$Neighborhood of the Singular set

In this section, we construct an open covering of the singular set $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (proof of Lemma 6) and a smooth function that cuts off the open covering of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Definition 1). Moreover, we prove their crucial properties in Lemma 6, Lemma 7, and Lemma 8.

Lemma 6. *For $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1 \ll 1$ and $\theta > 0$, we construct an open set $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1} \subset \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, such that,*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}} \ \subset \ \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}. \tag{49}$$

There exists $C_* = C_*(\Omega) \gg 1$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1 \ll 1$

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}} \ \subset \ \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1}. \tag{50}$$

Moreover there exist $C_1 = C_1(\theta,\Omega,C_*) > 0,\ C_2 = C_2(\Omega,C_*) > 0$, such that

$$\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x,v) e^{-\theta|v|^2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x < C_1\varepsilon, \tag{51}$$

and

$$\mathrm{dist}\big(\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\big) > C_2\varepsilon. \tag{52}$$

Proof. Construction of $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}$: Let us fix $\delta > 0$ (δ will be chosen later in (55)). Since the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is locally a graph of smooth functions, there exists a finite number $M_{\Omega,\delta}$ of small open balls $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, .., \mathcal{U}_{M_{\Omega,\delta}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{U}_m) < 2\delta$ for all m, such that

$$\partial\Omega \ \subset \ \bigcup_{m=1}^{M_{\Omega,\delta}} [\ \mathcal{U}_m \ \cap \ \partial\Omega\ ] \quad \text{with} \quad M_{\Omega,\delta} = O(\frac{1}{\delta^2}), \tag{53}$$

and for every m, inside $\mathcal{U}_m$ the boundary $\mathcal{U}_m \ \cap \ \partial\Omega$ is exactly described by a smooth function η_m defined on a (small) open set $\mathcal{A}_m \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

For all m, without loss of generality (up to rotations and translations *depending on* m, and up to reducing the size of the ball $\mathcal{U}_m$) we will always assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_m \cap \partial\Omega &= \big\{(x_1,x_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)) \in \mathcal{A}_m \times \mathbb{R}\big\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_m \cap \Omega &= \big\{(x_1,x_2,x_3) \in \mathcal{A}_m \times \mathbb{R} : x_3 > \eta_m(x_1,x_2)\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

and

$$(0,0) \in \mathcal{A}_m \ \subset_{\text{open}} \ [-\delta,\delta]\times[-\delta,\delta],$$
$$\partial_1\eta_m(0,0) = 0 = \partial_2\eta_m(0,0).$$

Therefore

$$n(0,0,\eta(0,0)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\partial_1\eta_m(0,0)|^2+|\partial_2\eta_m(0,0)|^2}}(\partial_1\eta_m(0,0),\partial_2\eta_m(0,0),-1) = (0,0,-1).$$

Recall that $\partial\Omega$ is locally C^2. Then we can choose $\delta > 0$ small enough to satisfy for all $m \in \{1, .., M_{\Omega,\delta}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_1\eta_m(x_1, x_2) - \partial_1\eta_m(0, 0)| + |\partial_2\eta_m(x_1, x_2) - \partial_2\eta_m(0, 0)| \\ =&|\partial_1\eta_m(x_1, x_2)| + |\partial_2\eta_m(x_1, x_2)| \le \frac{1}{8} \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \mathcal{A}_m, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

and

$$|\partial_1^2\eta_m(x_1, x_2)| + |\partial_2^2\eta_m(x_1, x_2)| + |\partial_1\partial_2\eta_m(x_1, x_2)| \le C_\eta \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \mathcal{A}_m. \tag{56}$$

Now we define the lattice point on $\mathcal{A}_m$ as

$$c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} := (\varepsilon i, \varepsilon j) \quad \text{for } -N_\varepsilon \le i, j \le N_\varepsilon = O(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}). \tag{57}$$

Then we define the (i, j)-*rectangular* $\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}$ which is centered at $c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}$ and whose side is $2\varepsilon_1$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1} := \Big\{(x_1, x_2) \in \big(\varepsilon i - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon i + \varepsilon_1\big) \times \big(\varepsilon i - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon i + \varepsilon_1\big)\Big\} \ \cap \ \mathcal{A}_m. \tag{58}$$

Note that if $\varepsilon_1 \ge \varepsilon$ then $\{\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}\}$ is open covering of $\mathcal{A}_m$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_m \subset \bigcup_{-N_\varepsilon \le i,j \le N_\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1} \quad \text{with} \quad N_\varepsilon = O(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}). \tag{59}$$

For each rectangle we define the representative outward normal

$$n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\partial_1\eta_m(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})|^2 + |\partial_2\eta_m(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})|^2}}(\partial_1\eta_m(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}), \partial_2\eta_m(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}), -1).$$

Let $\{\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}, \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space of $\partial\Omega$ at $(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}, \eta_m(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}))$. Remark that the three vectors $\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}$, $\hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}$, and $n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}$ are fixed for each m, i, j, ε and that $\{\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}, \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}, n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

We split the tangent velocity space at $(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}, \eta_m(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})) \in \partial\Omega$ as

$$\big\{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : v \cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} = 0\big\} \ \subseteq \ \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{L_\varepsilon} \Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}, \quad \text{with} \ \ L_\varepsilon = O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} \\ &:= \Big\{r_v \cos\theta_v \cos\phi_v \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_v \sin\theta_v \cos\phi_v \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_v \sin\phi_v n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \\ &\qquad |r_v \sin\phi_v| < 8C_\eta\varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [0, 1], \quad |\sin\phi_v| < 8C_\eta\varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [1, \infty), \\ &\qquad |\theta_v - \varepsilon\ell| < \varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [0, \infty)\Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

with the constant $C_\eta > 0$ from (56).

Remark that for $\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon$,

$$\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{L_\varepsilon} \Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \quad \begin{array}{c} |v \cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| < 8C_\eta \varepsilon_1 \text{ for } |v| \leq 1, \\ \text{or } \left|\frac{v}{|v|} \cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}\right| < 8C_\eta \varepsilon_1 \text{ for } |v| \geq 1 \end{array} \right\}. \tag{61}$$

Now we are ready to construct the desired open cover corresponding to $\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1} \times \Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}$ as

$$\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} := \Big[\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1) \Big] \times \Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}, \tag{62}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} := \Big\{ & (x_1,x_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)) + \tau[\cos\theta \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + \sin\theta \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}] + s n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \\ & (x_1,x_2) \in \mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1},\ \theta \in \big(\varepsilon\ell - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon\ell + \varepsilon_1\big),\ s \in (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \\ & \tau \in \big[0, t_{\mathbf{f}}\big((x_1,x_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)), \cos\theta \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + \sin\theta \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}\big)\big] \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

We note that $\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}$ is an infinite union of open sets and hence is an open set.

Finally we define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1} := \bigcup_{m,i,j,\ell} \mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} \ \cup\ \big[\mathbb{R}^3 \times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon_1)\big], \tag{64}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq M_{\Omega,\delta} = O(\frac{1}{\delta^2}),\ -N_\varepsilon \leq i,j \leq N_\varepsilon = O(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}),\ 0 \leq \ell \leq L_\varepsilon = O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}$ is a union of open sets, it is an open set.

Proof of (49): Suppose there exists $(x,v) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$. By the definition of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ in (8) there exists $y = x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v) \in \partial\Omega$, such that $x = y + t_{\mathbf{f}}(y,v)v$ and $v \cdot n(y) = 0$. Then $y \in \mathcal{U}_m$ for some m. Without loss of generality (up to rotations and translations) we may assume that $y = (y_1, y_2, \eta_m(y_1,y_2))$ and $(y_1,y_2) \in \mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}$ for some i,j.

First we consider the case of $|v| \leq 1$. Then from (56)

$$\begin{aligned} |v \cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| &\leq |v \cdot n(y)| + |v \cdot (n(y) - n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})| \\ &\leq 4\varepsilon_1 ||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1})} \ \leq\ 4\varepsilon_1 ||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_m)} \\ &\leq 8C_\eta \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

By the statement of (61), $v \in \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{L_\varepsilon} \Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\ell}$ and hence $(x,v) \in \mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}$.

Secondly we consider the case of $|v| \geq 1$. Then we check that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{v}{|v|}\right| &= \left|n(y_1,y_2,\eta_m(y_1,y_2)) \cdot \frac{v}{|v|} + [n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} - n(y_1,y_2,\eta_m(y_1,y_2))] \cdot \frac{v}{|v|}\right| \\ &\leq \left|n(y_1,y_2,\eta_m(y_1,y_2)) \cdot \frac{v}{|v|}\right| + \left|[n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} - n(y_1,y_2,\eta_m(y_1,y_2))] \cdot \frac{v}{|v|}\right| \\ &= 0 + \left|n(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon},\eta_m(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})) - n(y_1,y_2,\eta_m(y_1,y_2))\right| \\ &\leq \frac{\left|\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta(y_1,y_2)|^2} - \sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})|^2}\right|}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})|^2}} + \frac{|\nabla\eta(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}) - \nabla\eta(y_1,y_2)|}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})|^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (55), we deduce $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})|^2}} \le \sqrt{\frac{64}{65}}$. Use (56), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla\eta(c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}) - \nabla\eta(y_1,y_2)| &\le 4\varepsilon_1 \times ||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1})} \\ &\le 4\varepsilon_1 \times ||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_m)} \\ &\le 4C_\eta\varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude

$$\big|n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\big| \le 8C_\eta\varepsilon_1.$$

By (61), $v \in \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{L_\varepsilon}\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\ell}$ and hence $(x,v)\in\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}$.

Proof of (50): It suffices to show that there exists a constant $C_* \gg 1$ such that if $(x,v)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}}$ then $(x,v)\in\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1}$.

Since in the definition (64) the union on m,i,j,ℓ is finite, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}} &= \bigcup_{m,i,j,\ell}\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} \cup \big[\mathbb{R}^3\times\big\{v\in\mathbb{R}^3:|v|\le\varepsilon_1\big\}\big] \\ &= \bigcup_{m,i,j,\ell}\Big[\underbrace{\overline{\Big(\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)\Big)}}\times\overline{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}}\Big] \cup \big[\mathbb{R}^3\times\big\{v\in\mathbb{R}^3:|v|\le\varepsilon_1\big\}\big]. \end{aligned}$$

First we define an open set including the underbraced set (a close set). For $0<\varsigma$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}}\ \bigcup_{y\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y;\varsigma) \\ &= \Big\{z\in\mathbb{R}^3 : \text{there exists } x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} \text{ and } y\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1) \text{ such that } z\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y;\varsigma)\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Since it is an infinite union of open balls, (65) is open and the underbraced set is contained in (65) for any $\varsigma>0$.

Now we claim that, there exists $C_*=C_*(\Omega)\gg 1$ such that such that for $0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_1\ll 1$, there exists $0<\varsigma=\varsigma(\varepsilon_1,C^*)\ll 1$ such that

$$\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}}\ \bigcup_{y\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y;\varsigma) \subset \bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon_1). \tag{66}$$

Choose $z\in\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}}\bigcup_{y\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)}B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y;\varsigma)$. From (65) there exist $x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}$ and $y\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)$ such that $z\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y;\varsigma)$. If we choose $\varsigma<\varepsilon_1$ then $|x-z|\le|x-y|+|y-z|\le 2\varepsilon_1<C_*\varepsilon_1$ and therefore $z\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon_1)$. Clearly $x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1}$. This proves our claim (66).

On the other hand, from (60), $C_*\gg 1$ and the fact that the vectors $\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}$, $\hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}$, and

$n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}$ are fixed for given m, i, j,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} \;=\; & \Big\{ v = r_v\cos\theta_v \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_v \sin\theta_v \hat{x}_{2,m,ij,\varepsilon} + r_v \sin\phi_v n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \\
& \qquad |r_v \sin\phi_v| \le 8C_\eta\varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [0,1], \quad |\sin\phi_v| \le 8C_\eta\varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [1,\infty), \\
& \qquad |\theta_v - \varepsilon\ell| \le \varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [0,\infty) \Big\} \\
\subset \; & \Big\{ v = r_v\cos\theta_v \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_v \sin\theta_v \hat{x}_{2,m,ij,\varepsilon} + r_v \sin\phi_v n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \\
& \qquad |r_v \sin\phi_v| < 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [0,1], \quad |\sin\phi_v| < 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [1,\infty), \\
& \qquad |\theta_v - \varepsilon\ell| < C_*\varepsilon_1 \text{ for } r_v \in [0,\infty) \Big\} \\
= \; & \Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1,\ell}.
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Finally we conclude, from (66) and (67),

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1}} \;\subset\; & \bigcup_{m,i,j,\ell} \Big[\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x; C_*\varepsilon_1) \times \Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1,\ell} \Big] \;\cup\; \big[\mathbb{R}^3 \times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0; C_*\varepsilon_1)\big] \\
=\; & \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (51): From (64), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x,v) e^{-\theta|v|^2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x \\
\le \; & \sum_{m,i,j,\ell} \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}(x,v) e^{-\theta|v|^2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x + \mathrm{m}_3(\Omega) O(|\varepsilon|^3) \\
\le \; & M_{\Omega,\delta}(2N_\varepsilon)^2 L_\varepsilon \times \sup_{m,i,j,\ell} \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}(x,v) e^{-\theta|v|^2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x + \mathrm{m}_3(\Omega) O(|\varepsilon|^3) \\
\lesssim_\Omega \; & O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}) \times \sup_{m,i,j,\ell} \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}(x,v) e^{-\theta|v|^2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x + O(|\varepsilon|^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to prove (51), it suffices to show

$$\sup_{m,i,j,\ell} \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}(x,v) e^{-\theta|v|^2} \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x \;\lesssim_{\delta,\Omega}\; \varepsilon^4. \tag{68}$$

From (60),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}(v) e^{-\theta|v|^2} \mathrm{d}v \\
= &\int_{|v|\le 1} + \int_{|v|\ge 1} \\
= &\int_{|r_v \sin\phi_v| \le 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}|r_v \sin\phi_v| \int_0^\infty |r_v\cos\phi_v| e^{-\theta|r_v\cos\phi_v|^2} \mathrm{d}|r_v\cos\phi_v| \int_{|\theta_v - \varepsilon\ell|<C_*\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\theta_v \\
&+ \int_1^\infty |r_v|^2 e^{-\theta|r_v|^2} \mathrm{d}r_v \int_{|\sin\phi_v|<8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\phi_v \int_{|\theta_v-\varepsilon\ell|<C_*\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\theta_v \\
\lesssim_\Omega\ &\varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that, for $\varepsilon_1 \ge \varepsilon$,

$$\mathrm{m}_3\Big(\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)\Big) \lesssim_\Omega \varepsilon_1^2. \tag{69}$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $i=j=0$ and $l=0$. Therefore $c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}=0$ in (57) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell} \subset \Big\{ &(x_1,x_2,\eta(x_1,x_2)) + \tau[\cos\theta\mathbf{e}_1 + \sin\theta\mathbf{e}_2] + s\mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \\
&(x_1,x_2)\in(-\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1)^2,\ \theta\in(-\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1), \\
&\tau \in \big[0, t_{\mathbf{f}}((x_1,x_2,\eta(x_1,x_2)), \cos\theta\mathbf{e}_1+\sin\theta\mathbf{e}_2)\big],\ s\in(-\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1)\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since Ω is bounded, we have that $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega) = \sup_{x,y\in\Omega}|x-y| < +\infty$ and hence

$$t_{\mathbf{f}}((x_1,x_2,\eta(x_1,x_2)), \cos\theta\mathbf{e}_1+\sin\theta\mathbf{e}_2) \le \mathrm{diam}(\Omega).$$

We have

$$\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1) \subset \bigcup_{\tau=0}^{2\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\tau\mathbf{e}_1; [10 + ||\eta||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_m)} + \tau||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_m)}]\varepsilon_1).$$

More precisely $\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)$ is included in the truncated cone with height $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$, top radius $[10+||\eta||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_m)}]\varepsilon_1$, and the bottom radius $[10+||\eta||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_m)} + \mathrm{diam}(\Omega)||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_m)}]\varepsilon_1$.

Therefore, using (55) and (56), we conclude (69)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{m}_3\Big(\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)\Big) &\le \mathrm{m}_3\Big(\bigcup_{\tau=0}^{2\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\tau\mathbf{e}_1; [10 + ||\eta||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_m)} + \tau||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_m)}]\varepsilon_1)\Big) \\
&\le 3\,\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\Big[10 + ||\eta||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_m)} + \mathrm{diam}(\Omega)||\eta||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_m)}\Big]^2 \times (\varepsilon_1)^2 \\
&\le 3\,\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\big[10 + \frac{1}{8} + C_\eta \mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\big]^2 (\varepsilon_1)^2 \\
&\lesssim_\Omega \varepsilon_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally selecting $\varepsilon_1 = C_*\varepsilon$ in (69) we conclude (68) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{m}_3\Big(\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon)\Big)\times\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}(v)e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}v\\
&\lesssim\ \mathrm{m}_3\Big(\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon_1)\Big)\times(\varepsilon)^2\\
&\lesssim\ \varepsilon^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (52): Due to (49), it suffices to show that there exists $C_2 = C_2(C_*) > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{dist}\big(\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon},\ \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\big) > C_2\varepsilon. \tag{70}$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}$ in (64),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{dist}(\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon},\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}})\\
=\ &\inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in(\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon})^c,\ (y,u)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}\big\}\\
=\ &\inf_{m,i,j,\ell}\inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in(\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon})^c,\ (y,u)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\cup[\mathbb{R}^3\times\overline{B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon)}]\big\}\\
\geq\ &\inf_{m,i,j,\ell}\inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in(\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell})^c\cap[\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,C_*\varepsilon)^c],\\
&\qquad\qquad (y,u)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\cup[\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon)]\big\}\\
=\ &\inf_{m,i,j,\ell}\min\Big\{\inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in(\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell})^c\cap[\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;C_*\varepsilon)^c],\\
&\qquad\qquad (y,u)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon)\big\},\qquad (71)\\
&\qquad \inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in(\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell})^c\ \cap\ [\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,C_*\varepsilon)^c],\\
&\qquad\qquad (y,u)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\ \cap\ [\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,\varepsilon)^c]\big\}\ \Big\}.\qquad (72)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
(71)\ &\geq\ \inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;C_*\varepsilon)^c,\ (y,u)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon)\big\}\\
&\geq\ \inf\big\{|v-u| : v\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;C_*\varepsilon)^c,\ u\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon)\big\}\\
&=\ (C_*-1)\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that (72) is bounded below by the minimum of (73) and (74):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(72)\\
\geq\ &\min\Bigg(\inf\Big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in \bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x,C_*\varepsilon)\times\big[\big(\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}\big)^c\backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;C_*\varepsilon)\big],\\
&\qquad (y,u)\in\Big[\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon)\Big]\ \times\ \big[\ \overline{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon)\big]\Big\}, \qquad (73)\\
&\inf\Big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in\Big[\bigcap_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}\big(B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon)\big)^c\Big]\times\big[\mathbb{R}^3\backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;C_*\varepsilon)\big],\\
&\qquad (y,u)\in\Big[\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon)\Big]\ \times\ \big[\ \overline{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon)\big]\Big\}\Bigg). \qquad (74)
\end{aligned}
$$

Firstly, we divide $\{x\in(\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell})^c\}$ in (72) into two parts: from the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}$ in (62), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell})^c\ &=\ \Big[\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon)\Big]\times\big(\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}\big)^c\\
&\quad\cup\ \Big[\bigcap_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}\big(B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon)\big)^c\Big]\times\mathbb{R}^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (72) is bounded below by the minimum of following two numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in\Big[\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon)\Big]\times[\big(\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}\big)^c\backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,C_*\varepsilon)^c],\\
&\qquad (y,u)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\ \cap\ [\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,\varepsilon)^c]\}\ \big\},\\
&\inf\big\{|(x,v)-(y,u)| : (x,v)\in\Big[\bigcap_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}\big(B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;C_*\varepsilon)\big)^c\Big]\times[\mathbb{R}^3\backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,C_*\varepsilon)],\\
&\qquad (y,u)\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\ \cap\ [\mathbb{R}^3\times B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,\varepsilon)^c]\}\ \big\}.
\end{aligned}
\qquad (75)
$$

Secondly, we consider $\{y\in\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\}$. From (66) with $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon$, for some $\varsigma=\varsigma(\varepsilon,C_*)>0$

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon)}\ \subset\ \bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\ \bigcup_{y\in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon)} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y;\varsigma)\ \subset\ \bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon),
$$

and from the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}$ in (62), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}} &= \overline{\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\varepsilon)} \times \overline{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}} \\ &\subset \Big[\bigcup_{x\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x;\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon)\Big] \times \overline{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the first number of (75) is bounded below by (73) and the second of (75) by (74). This proves the claim.

Now we claim that

$$(73) \gtrsim \varepsilon, \quad \text{and } (74) \gtrsim \varepsilon.$$

Firstly, we prove $(73) \gtrsim \varepsilon$. Let $v \in \big(\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}\big)^c \backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0;C_*\varepsilon)$. By (60)

$$v = r_v\cos\theta_v\cos\phi_v\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_v\sin\theta_v\cos\phi_v\hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_v\sin\phi_v n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & |r_v\sin\phi_v| \geq 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon \ \text{ and } \ |r_v| \leq 1, \\ \text{or} \quad & |\sin\phi_v| \geq 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon \ \text{ and } \ |r_v| \geq 1, \\ \text{or} \quad & |\theta_v - \varepsilon\ell| \geq C_*\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in \overline{\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\ell}}\backslash B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,\varepsilon)$. Again from (60) we have

$$u = r_u\cos\theta_u\cos\phi_u\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_u\sin\theta_u\cos\phi_u\hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + r_u\sin\phi_u n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & |\theta_u - \varepsilon\ell| \leq \varepsilon, \\ \text{and} \quad & |r_u\sin\phi_u| \leq 8C_\eta\varepsilon \ \text{ for } |r_u| \leq 1, \\ \text{and} \quad & |\sin\phi_u| \leq 8C_\eta\varepsilon \ \text{ for } |r_u| \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\theta_v - \varepsilon\ell| \geq C_*\varepsilon$ then clearly $|v-u| \gtrsim \varepsilon$ since $|\theta_u - \varepsilon\ell| \leq \varepsilon$.

Now we consider the case of $|\theta_v - \varepsilon\ell| \leq C_*\varepsilon$.

If $|r_v| \leq 1$ and $|r_u| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |v-u| &\geq |(v-u)\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| \geq |v\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| - |u\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| \\ &\geq |r_v\sin\phi_v| - |r_u\sin\phi_u| \geq 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon - 8C_\eta\varepsilon \\ &\gtrsim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If $|r_v| \geq 1$ and $|r_u| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |v-u| &\geq |(v-u)\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| \geq |r_v\sin\phi_v - r_u\sin\phi_u| \geq |r_v\sin\phi_v| - |r_u\sin\phi_u| \\ &\geq |\sin\phi_v| - 8C_\eta\varepsilon \\ &\geq 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon - 8C_\eta\varepsilon \\ &\gtrsim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If $|r_v| \le 1$ and $|r_u| \ge 1$, then $|r_v \sin\phi_v| \ge 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon$, $|r_v\cos\phi_v| \le |\cos\phi_v|$ and $|u_n| \le \varepsilon + |u|\sin\varepsilon = \varepsilon + (|u_n| + |u_\tau|)\sin\varepsilon$, and

$$|u_n| \le \frac{\varepsilon + |u_\tau|\sin\varepsilon}{1-\sin\varepsilon} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\sin\varepsilon}(1+|u_\tau|).$$

Fix $0 < c_* \ll 1 \ll C_*$. If $C_* - c_* \ge |u|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |v-u| &\ge |(v-u)\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| \ge |v\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| - |u\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| \\ &\ge 8C_\eta C_*\varepsilon - |u|\times 8C_\eta\varepsilon \ge 8C_\eta\varepsilon(C_* - |u|) \\ &\ge 8C_\eta\varepsilon \times c_*. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $C_* - c_* \le |u|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |v-u| &\ge \big|[u-(u\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}] - [v-(v\cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}]\big| \\ &\ge |u||\cos\phi_u| - |v||\cos\phi_v| \\ &\ge |u|\sqrt{1-64(C_\eta)^2\varepsilon^2} - |\cos\phi_v| \\ &\ge (C_*-c_*)\sqrt{1-64(C_\eta)^2\varepsilon^2} - 1 \\ &\gtrsim 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $|r_v| \ge 1$ and $|r_u| \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v-u| &\ge \min\Big\{|\sin\phi_v - \sin\phi_u|, \\ &\qquad |(\cos\theta_v\cos\phi_v - \cos\theta_u\cos\phi_u)\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + (\sin\theta_v\cos\phi_v - \sin\theta_u\cos\phi_u)\hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}|\Big\} \\ &\gtrsim C_\eta(C_*-1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all cases, we deduce (73) $\gtrsim \varepsilon$.

Secondly, we prove (74) $\gtrsim \varepsilon$. The proof is due to

$$\begin{aligned} (74) &\ge \inf\Big\{|x-y| : x \in \bigcap_{z\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}} (B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(z;C_*\varepsilon))^c,\ y \in \bigcup_{z\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(z;\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon)\Big\} \\ &\ge \inf\Big\{|x-y| : x \in \bigcap_{z\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} (B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(z;C_*\varepsilon))^c,\ y \in \bigcup_{z\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(z;\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon)\Big\} \\ &\ge \inf_{z\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon,\ell}} \inf\Big\{|x-y| : x \in (B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(z;C_*\varepsilon))^c,\ y \in B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(z;\frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon)\Big\} \\ &\ge \frac{C_*}{2}\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall the standard mollifier $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to [0, \infty)$,

$$\varphi(x,v) := C \exp\Big(\frac{1}{|x|^2+|v|^2-1}\Big), \text{ for } \sqrt{|x|^2+|v|^2} < 1, \quad \varphi(x,v) := 0, \text{ for } \sqrt{|x|^2+|v|^2} \geq 1,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is selected so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(x,v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x = 1$.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x,v) := (\varepsilon/\tilde{C})^{-6}\varphi(\frac{\sqrt{|x|^2+|v|^2}}{\varepsilon/\tilde{C}}), \tag{76}$$

where $\tilde{C} \gg C_* \gg 1$. Clearly φ_ε is smooth and bounded and satisfies

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_\varepsilon(x,v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x = 1, \quad \mathrm{spt}(\varphi_\varepsilon) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde{C}).$$

Definition 1. *We define a smooth cut-off function $\chi_\varepsilon : \bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3 \to [0,2]$ as*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\varepsilon(x,v) &:= \mathbf{1}_{\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}} * \varphi_\varepsilon(x,v) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u)\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y,v-u)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

The following properties of the cut-off function are crucial for our analysis.

Lemma 7. *There exists $\tilde{C} \gg C_* \gg 1$ in (76) and (77) and $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\Omega) > 0$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ then*

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B} \subset \big\{(x,v) \in \bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3 : \chi_\varepsilon(x,v) = 0\big\}, \tag{78}$$

and, for either $\partial = \nabla_x$ or $\partial = \nabla_v$,

$$\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} [1-\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)]e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x \lesssim_\Omega \varepsilon, \tag{79}$$

$$\iint_{\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)|e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x \lesssim_\Omega 1. \tag{80}$$

Proof. Firstly we prove (78). Let $(x,v) \in \mathfrak{S}_\mathrm{B}$. Due to (76) if $|(x,v)-(y,u)| \geq \varepsilon/\tilde{C}$ then $\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y,v-u) = 0$. Therefore

$$(77) = \iint_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}((x,v);\varepsilon/\tilde{C})} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u)\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y,v-u)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}u.$$

On the other hand, due to (52) with $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{C} \gg C_*$, we have $(y,u) \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}$ and

$$\mathbf{1}_{\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u) \equiv 0, \quad \text{on} \quad (y,u) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^6}((x,v);\varepsilon/\tilde{C}).$$

Therefore we conclude $\chi_\varepsilon(x,v) = 0$ and (78).

Secondly we deduce (79). We use (51) with $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$ to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\big[1-\mathbf{1}_{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u)\big]\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y,v-u)e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x\\
&\le \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u)e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y,v-u)e^{\theta|v-u|^2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x\\
&\le\ C_1\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\iint_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde C)}\varphi_\varepsilon(x,v)e^{\theta\varepsilon^2/\tilde C^2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x\\
&\lesssim\ \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$-\theta|v|^2=\theta|v-u|^2-\theta|v-u|^2-\theta|v|^2\le\theta|v-u|^2-\frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2. \tag{81}$$

Thirdly we prove (80). Note that

$$|\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(x,v)|=(\varepsilon/\tilde C)^{-6}\frac{O(|(x,v)|)}{|(x,v)|}\varphi'(\frac{\sqrt{|x|^2+|v|^2}}{\varepsilon/\tilde C})=O(\varepsilon^{-6}\tilde C^6)\mathbf{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde C)}(x,v),$$

and the LHS of (80) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u)\partial\big[\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y,v-u)\big]e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x\\
&=\ O(\varepsilon^{-6}\tilde C^6)\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u)e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde C)}(x-y,v-u)e^{\theta|v-u|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x\\
&=\ O(\varepsilon^{-6})\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde C)}(x,v)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x\\
&=\ O(\varepsilon^{-6})O(\varepsilon^6)\\
&\lesssim\ 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8. *With the same constants $\tilde C\gg C_*\gg 1$ in Lemma 7 and $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0$,*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\cap[\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3]\ \subset\ \big\{(x,v)\in\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3:\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)=0\big\}. \tag{82}$$

Moreover if $|(x,v)-(y,u)|\le\varepsilon/\tilde C$ for $\tilde C\gg C_*\gg 1$ then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_{n(x)\cdot v<0}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}|n(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\ \lesssim\ \varepsilon, \tag{83}$$

and

$$\int_{\gamma_-}[1-\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)]e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}\gamma\ \lesssim_\Omega\ \varepsilon, \tag{84}$$

$$\int_{\gamma_-}|\partial\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)|e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}\gamma\ \lesssim_\Omega\ 1. \tag{85}$$

The following fact is crucial to prove Lemma 8 and especially (83):

Lemma 9. *We fix $m_0 = 1, 2, \cdots, M_{\Omega,\delta}$ in (53). From (54), we may assume (up to rotations and translations) there exists a C^2-function $\eta_{m_0} : [-\delta, \delta] \times [-\delta, \delta] \to \mathbb{R}$, whose graph is the boundary $\mathcal{U}_{m_0} \cap \partial\Omega$.*

Let $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{A}_{m_0} \cap [-\delta/2, \delta/2] \times [-\delta/2, \delta/2]$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}_{m_0,i_0,j_0,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}$ for $|i_0|, |j_0| \le N_\varepsilon$. (see (57), (58), and (59))

Suppose i) $|y| \le \varepsilon/\tilde{C}$ and

$$\big((x_1, x_2, \eta_{m_0}(x_1, x_2)) - y, v\big) \ \in \ \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}, \tag{86}$$

and ii) for large but fixed $s_* \gg 1$.

$$-1 \le n_{m_0}(0,0) \cdot \frac{v}{|v|} \le -s_* C_2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{with } C_2 := \sqrt{\frac{8C_*}{3}} \big[1 + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}\big]^{1/2}. \tag{87}$$

Then either $|v| < \varepsilon^{1/3}$ or there exists $(i, j) \in [-N_1 + i_0, N_1 + i_0] \times [-N_1 + j_0, N_1 + j_0]$, with

$$N_1 := \lfloor \frac{8C_3}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \rfloor, \quad C_3 := \frac{4C_* + 8C_* \big[1 + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}\big]^{1/2} + 2/\tilde{C}}{C_2}, \tag{88}$$

such that

$$\big((x_1, x_2, \eta_{m_0}(x_1, x_2)) - y, v\big) \ \in \ \bigcup_{0 \le \ell \le L_\varepsilon} \mathcal{O}_{m_0,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell} \ \cap \ \bar{\Omega} \times \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |v| \ge \varepsilon^{1/3}\},$$

and

$$\big|n_{m_0}(0,0) \cdot \frac{v}{|v|}\big| \ \le \ C_4 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \quad \text{with } C_4 = C_3 \big[1 + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}\big]. \tag{89}$$

Remark that the constant N_1 in (88) does not depend on x, y, v.

Proof of Lemma 9. Without loss of generality (up to rotations and translations), we may assume

$$(i_0, j_0) = (0, 0) \ \text{ and } \ \eta_{m_0}(0,0) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \nabla\eta_{m_0}(0,0) = 0. \tag{90}$$

Consider the case of $|v| \ge \varepsilon^{1/3}$. Since $\big((x_1, x_2, \eta_{m_0}(x_1, x_2)) - y, v\big) \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}$ we use the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}$ in (64) to have

$$\text{either} \quad \underbrace{|v| < C_*\varepsilon}_{(91)-(i)} \quad \text{or} \quad \underbrace{(x - y, v) \in \bigcup_{m,i,j,\ell} \mathcal{O}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}}_{(91)-(ii)}. \tag{91}$$

For small $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, we can exclude the case of $(91) - (i)$ since $|v| > \varepsilon^{1/3} \gg C_*\varepsilon$.

Consider the case of $(91) - (ii)$. In this case, we claim that

$$\big((x_1, x_2, \eta_{m_0}(x_1, x_2)) - y, v\big) \ \in \ \bigcup_{i,j,\ell} \mathcal{O}_{m_0,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}. \tag{92}$$

From $(91)-(ii)$ and the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{m_0,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}$ in (62), there exist m,i,j,ℓ such that

$$\big((x_1,x_2,\eta_{m_0}(x_1,x_2))-y,v\big) \in \Big[\bigcup_{p\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}} B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p;C_*\varepsilon)\Big]\times\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}.$$

In particular, there exists $p\in\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}$ satisfying

$$\big|p-\big((x_1,x_2,\eta_{m_0}(x_1,x_2))-y\big)\big|<C_*\varepsilon.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{X}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}$ in (63),

$$p=(\bar p_1,\bar p_2,\eta_m(\bar p_1,\bar p_2))+\bar\tau\big[\cos\bar\theta\hat x_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+\sin\bar\theta\hat x_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}\big]+\bar s n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon},$$

for some

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar p_1,\bar p_2) &\in \mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon},\\ \bar\theta &\in (\varepsilon\ell-C_*\varepsilon,\varepsilon\ell+C_*\varepsilon),\\ \bar\tau &\in [0,t_{\mathbf{f}}((\bar p_1,\bar p_2,\eta_m(\bar p_1,\bar p_2)),\cos\bar\theta\hat x_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+\sin\bar\theta\hat x_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon})],\\ \bar s &\in [-\varepsilon,\varepsilon].\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $t_{\mathbf{f}}$ in (7),

$$z:=p-\bar s n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}=(\bar p_1,\bar p_2,\eta_m(\bar p_1,\bar p_2))+\bar\tau\big[\cos\bar\theta\hat x_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+\sin\bar\theta\hat x_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}\big]\in\Omega.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}&|z-\big((x_1,x_2,\eta_{m_0}(x_1,x_2))-y\big)|\\ &\le |z-p|+|p-\big((x_1,x_2,\eta_{m_0}(x_1,x_2))-y\big)|\\ &\le 2C_*\varepsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{93}$$

From (90), (93), and $|y|\le\varepsilon/\tilde C$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&|z-(0,0,\eta_{m_0}(0,0))|\\ &\le |z-\big((x_1,x_2,\eta_{m_0}(x_1,x_2))-y\big)|+|(x_1,x_2,\eta_{m_0}(x_1,x_2))-(0,0,\eta_{m_0}(0,0))|+|y|\\ &\le 2C_*\varepsilon+4C_*\varepsilon(1+||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})})+\varepsilon/\tilde C.\end{aligned}$$

Denote $(\bar z_1,\bar z_2)=(\bar p_1,\bar p_2)$. By the definition of $t_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $t_{\mathbf{f}}$ in (6) and (7)

$$x_{\mathbf{b}}(z,\cos\bar\theta\hat x_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+\sin\bar\theta\hat x_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+0n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})=(\bar z_1,\bar z_2,\eta_{m_0}(\bar z_1,\bar z_2)).\tag{94}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $\Theta_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon,\ell}$ in (60),

$$\frac{v}{|v|}=\cos\theta_v\cos\phi_v\hat x_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+\sin\theta_v\cos\phi_v\hat x_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+\sin\phi_v n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon},\quad\text{with}\quad|\theta_v-\varepsilon\ell|<C_*\varepsilon,\tag{95}$$

and

$$|v \cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}| < 8C_\eta C_* \varepsilon, \quad \text{for} \quad \varepsilon^{1/3} \le |v| \le 1,$$
$$\big|\frac{v}{|v|} \cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}\big| < 8C_\eta C_* \varepsilon, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le |v|.$$

Therefore, for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$,

$$\big|\frac{v}{|v|} \cdot n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}\big| = |\sin\phi_v| < \max\big\{8C_\eta C_* \varepsilon^{2/3}, 8C_\eta C_* \varepsilon\big\} \le 16 C_\eta C_* \varepsilon^{2/3}. \tag{96}$$

Now we estimate as

$$\begin{aligned} & n_{m_0}(0,0) \cdot (\cos\bar\theta \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + \sin\bar\theta \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + 0 n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}) \\ \le\ & n_{m_0}(0,0) \cdot \frac{v}{|v|} + n_m(0,0) \cdot \underbrace{\big(\frac{v}{|v|} - (\cos\bar\theta \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + \sin\bar\theta \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + 0 n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon})\big)}_{(\mathbf{a})}. \end{aligned}$$

We use (95), (96), and $\bar\theta \in (\varepsilon\ell - C_*\varepsilon, \varepsilon\ell + C_*\varepsilon)$ to conclude that, for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{a}) \ &\le\ 2\big\{|\cos\theta_v - \cos\bar\theta| + |\cos\theta_v||\cos\phi_v - 1| + |\sin\theta_v - \sin\bar\theta| + |\sin\theta_v||\cos\phi_v - 1| + |\sin\phi_v|\big\} \\ &\le\ 2\{4C_*\varepsilon + 16 C_\eta C_* \varepsilon^{2/3} + 2(16)^2 C_\eta^2 C_*^2 \varepsilon^{4/3}\} \\ &\le\ 200 C_\eta C_* \varepsilon^{2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally from (87), for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} -1 \ &\le\ n_{m_0}(0,0) \cdot (\cos\bar\theta \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + \sin\bar\theta \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + 0 n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}) \\ &\le\ -s_* \times C_2\sqrt{\varepsilon} + 400 C_\eta C_* \varepsilon^{2/3} \\ &\le\ -\frac{s_* C_2}{2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Now we are ready to prove the first claim (92). Denote

$$\hat{u} := \cos\bar\theta \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + \sin\bar\theta \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon}.$$

Recall that $|z| \le (2C_* + 4C_*[1 + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}] + 1/\tilde{C})\varepsilon$ and $z \in \Omega$. Therefore for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ the function η_{m_0} is defined around (z_1, z_2) and $z_3 > \eta_{m_0}(z_1, z_2)$.

We define, for $|\tau| \ll 1$,

$$\Phi(\tau) = z_3 - \hat{u}_3\tau - \eta_{m_0}(z_1 - \hat{u}_1\tau, z_2 - \hat{u}_2\tau). \tag{98}$$

Clearly $\Phi(0) > 0$. Expanding $\Phi(\tau)$ in τ, from $-\hat{u}_3 = n_{m_0}(0,0) \cdot (\cos\bar\theta \hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon} + \sin\bar\theta \hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon})$, and (97), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\tau) &\le -\hat{u}_3\tau + |z_3| + |\eta_{m_0}(z_1 - \hat{u}_1\tau, z_2 - \hat{u}_2\tau)| \\ &\le -s_* \times \frac{C_2}{2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}\tau \\ &\quad + (2C_* + 4C_*[1 + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}] + 1/\tilde{C})\varepsilon \\ &\quad + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}(2C_* + 4C_*[1 + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}] + 1/\tilde{C})^2\varepsilon^2 \\ &\quad + ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}|\tau|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta_{m_0}(z_1-\hat{u}_1\tau, z_2-\hat{u}_2\tau)\\
&=\eta_{m_0}(z_1,z_2)+\int_0^\tau \frac{d}{ds}\eta_{m_0}(z_1-\hat{u}_1 s, z_2-\hat{u}_2 s)\mathrm{d}s\\
&=\eta_{m_0}(z_1,z_2)-(\hat{u}_1,\hat{u}_2)\cdot\nabla\eta_{m_0}(z_1,z_2)\tau+\int_0^\tau\int_0^s \frac{d}{ds_1{}^2}\eta_m(z_1-\hat{u}_1 s_1, z_2-\hat{u}_2 s_1)\mathrm{d}s_1\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}\frac{|z|^2}{2}-(\hat{u}_1,\hat{u}_2)\cdot\nabla\eta_{m_0}(0,0)|\tau|+||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}|z||\tau|+||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}\frac{|\tau|^2}{2}\\
&\le ||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}\Big(|z|^2+|\tau|^2\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we plug $\tau=\frac{1}{s_*}\times C_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ with the constant C_3 in (88) to have, for $s_*\gg 1$ and $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\tau)&\le -\Big[\frac{C_2C_3}{2}-\big(2C_*+4C_*[1+||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}]+1/\tilde{C}\big)-\frac{||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}C_3^2}{(s_*)^2}\Big]\varepsilon+O(\varepsilon^2)\\
&<0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem, there exists at least one $\tau\in(0,C_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ satisfying $\Phi(\tau)=0$. We choose the smallest one of them and denote it as $\tau_0\in(0,C_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$. By this definition and (94), for $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{\mathbf{b}}(z,\hat{u})&=x_{\mathbf{b}}(z,\cos\bar{\theta}\hat{x}_{1,m,i,j,\varepsilon}+\sin\bar{\theta}\hat{x}_{2,m,i,j,\varepsilon})\\
&=z-\tau_0\hat{u}\\
&=\big(z_1-\tau_0\hat{u}_1, z_2-\tau_0\hat{u}_2, z_3-\tau_0\hat{u}_3\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x_{\mathbf{b}}(z,\hat{u})\in\partial\Omega\cap\mathcal{U}_{m_0}$ and this proves (92).

For $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\big(z_1-\tau_0\hat{u}_1, z_2-\tau_0\hat{u}_2\big)|\le&\big(2C_*+4C_*(1+||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_m)}+1/\tilde{C})\big)\varepsilon+C_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}\\
\le&2C_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\big(z_1-\tau_0\hat{u}_1, z_2-\tau_0\hat{u}_2\big)\in\mathcal{R}_{m_0,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon},$$

for

$$|i-i_0|,|j-j_0|\ \le\ (2C_3\sqrt{\varepsilon})/\varepsilon\ \le\ 2C_3\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\le N_1.$$

We only need to prove (89). From (96) and (88)

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|n_{m_0}(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\big|\ \le&\ \big|n_{m_0,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\big|+\big|(n_{m_0}(0,0)-n_{m_0,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon})\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\big|\\
\le&\ 16C_\eta C_*\varepsilon^{2/3}+||n_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}|N_1\varepsilon+C_*\varepsilon|\\
\le&\ 16C_\eta C_*\varepsilon^{2/3}+||n_{m_0}||_{C^1(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})}\big\{2C_3\sqrt{\varepsilon}+C_*\varepsilon\big\}\\
\le&\ 10C_3(1+||\eta_{m_0}||_{C^2(\mathcal{A}_{m_0})})\sqrt{\varepsilon}\\
\le&\ \ C_4\sqrt{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

and (89) follows. □

Proof of Lemma 8. The first statement (82) is clear from (78). Once we assume (83) then it is easy to prove (84), (85):

Firstly we prove (84). Due to properties of the standard mollifier (76), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega, n(x)\cdot v<0} \big[1-\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)\big] e^{-\theta|v|^2} |n(x)\cdot v| \mathrm{d}S_x \mathrm{d}v \\
&= \iint_{x\in\partial\Omega, n(x)\cdot v<0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \big[1-\mathbf{1}_{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)\big]\varphi_\varepsilon(y,u) e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y |n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v \\
&\le \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_\varepsilon(y,u) e^{\theta|u|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y \iint_{x\in\partial\Omega, n(x)\cdot v<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u) e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v-u|^2}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v \\
&= \iint_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde C)} \varphi_\varepsilon(y,u) e^{\frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y \\
&\quad\times \iint_{x\in\partial\Omega, n(x)\cdot v<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u) e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\theta|v|^2 &\le -\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2 - \Big(\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2 - \frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2\Big) - \frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2 \\
&\le -\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2 - \Big(\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2 - \frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2 - \frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2\Big) - \frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2 \\
&\le -\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2 + \frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2 - \frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $|y|+|u|\le \varepsilon/\tilde C$ and $n(x)\cdot v<0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(x)\cdot v &= n(x)\cdot v - n(x-y)\cdot(v-u) + n(x-y)\cdot(v-u) \\
&= n(x-y)\cdot(v-u) + [n(x)-n(x-y)]\cdot v + n(x-y)\cdot u \\
&= n(x-y)\cdot(v-u) + O(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde C})(1+|v|).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we use (83) to bound (84) further as

$$
\begin{aligned}
(84) \le\ & \iint_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde C)} \varphi_\varepsilon(y,u) e^{\frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y \\
&\times \iint_{x\in\partial\Omega, n(x)\cdot v<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u) e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v \\
&+ O(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde C}) e^{\frac{\theta\varepsilon^2}{2\tilde C^2}} \times \mathrm{m}_3(\partial\Omega) \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|v|) e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}\mathrm{d}v \\
\lesssim_\Omega\ & \varepsilon \times e^{\frac{\theta\varepsilon^2}{2(\tilde C)^2}} \\
\lesssim_\Omega\ & \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly we prove (85). Following the same proof of (84) , we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega,n(x)\cdot v<0}\partial\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)e^{-\theta|v|^2}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\Big|\\
=&\Big|\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega,n(x)\cdot v<0}\partial\big[\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)-1\big]e^{-\theta|v|^2}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\Big|\\
=&\Big|\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega,n(x)\cdot v<0}\partial\Big[\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(y,u)\varphi_\varepsilon(x-y,v-u)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y\Big]e^{-\theta|v|^2}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\Big|\\
\le&\Big|\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega,n(x)\cdot v<0}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)|\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(y,u)|\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y|n(x)\cdot v|e^{-\theta|v|^2}\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\Big|\\
=&\iint_{B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde{C})}|\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(y,u)|e^{\frac{\theta}{2}|u|^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}y\\
&\times\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega,n(x)\cdot v<0}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n(x)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\\
\lesssim&\sup_{(y,u)\in B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde{C})}\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega,n(x)\cdot v<0}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}(1+|v|)\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\\
+&O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})\sup_{(y,u)\in B_{\mathbb{R}^6}(0;\varepsilon/\tilde{C})}\iint_{x\in\partial\Omega,n(x)\cdot v<0}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}S_x\mathrm{d}v\\
\lesssim&\ 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of (83). Let $|(y,u)|\le\varepsilon/\tilde{C}$. We use (53) to decompose

$$
\begin{aligned}
(83)&\le\sum_{m=1}^{M_{\Omega,\delta}}\int_{\mathcal{U}_m\cap\partial\Omega}\int_{n_m(x)\cdot v<0}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\\
&\le M_{\Omega,\delta}\times\sup_m\int_{\mathcal{U}_m\cap\partial\Omega}\int_{n_m(x)\cdot v<0}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\\
&\lesssim_\Omega\frac{1}{\delta^2}\sup_m\int_{\mathcal{U}_m\cap\partial\Omega}\int_{n_m(x)\cdot v<0}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x.
\end{aligned}
$$

For fixed $m = 1, 2, \cdots, M_{\Omega,\delta}$, we use (54) and (59) again to decompose

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{U}_m\cap\partial\Omega}\int_{n_m(x)\cdot v<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x-y,v-u)e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}S_x\\
=&\int_{\mathcal{A}_m}\int_{n_m(x_1,x_2)\cdot v<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)-y_3,v-u)\\
&\qquad\times e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta_m(x_1,x_2)|^2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\\
\le&\sum_{-N_\varepsilon\le i,j\le N_\varepsilon}\int_{\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}\int_{n_m(x_1,x_2)\cdot v<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)-y_3,v-u)\\
&\qquad\times e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta_m(x_1,x_2)|^2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\\
\lesssim&\frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2}\sup_{-N_\varepsilon\le i,j\le N_\varepsilon}\int_{\mathcal{R}_{m,i,j,\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}\int_{n_m(x_1,x_2)\cdot v<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)-y_3,v-u)\\
&\qquad\times e^{-\theta|v-u|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot(v-u)|\mathrm{d}v\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta_m(x_1,x_2)|^2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $n_m(x_1,x_2)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\partial_1\eta_m(x_1,x_2)|^2+|\partial_1\eta_m(x_1,x_2)|^2}}\big(\partial_1\eta_m(x_1,x_2),\partial_2\eta_m(x_1,x_2),-1\big)$.

We fix i,j. Without loss of generality (up to rotations and translations), we may assume

$$c_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}=(0,0),\quad \partial_1\eta_m(0,0)=0=\partial_2\eta_m(0,0),\quad n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}=(0,0,-1).$$

We claim

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{n_m(x_1,x_2)\cdot(v+u)<0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)-y_3,v)\\
&\qquad\times e^{-\theta|v|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v+u|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}v\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta_m(x_1,x_2)|^2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\\
&\lesssim\varepsilon^3.
\end{aligned}\tag{99}$$

Once we prove (99), due to the above estimates for the decomposition, we conclude (83) directly.

For $(x_1,x_2)\in[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2$, $|(y,u)|<\varepsilon/\tilde{C}$, and $n_m(x_1,x_2)\cdot(v+u)<0$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}\cdot v&=n_m(0,0)\cdot v\\
&=n_m(x_1,x_2)\cdot(v+u)+\big[n_m(0,0)\cdot v-n_m(x_1,x_2)\cdot(v+u)\big]\\
&<0+|n_m(x_1,x_2)||u|+|n_m(0,0)-n_m(x_1,x_2)||v|\\
&\le\varepsilon/\tilde{C}+2C_*\varepsilon||\eta_m||_{C^2([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)}|v|\\
&\le C_5(1+|v|)\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}\tag{100}$$

where $C_5=\max\big\{1/\tilde{C},2C_*||\eta_m||_{C^2([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)}\big\}$. Therefore

$$(99)\ \le\ \int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{n_{m,i,j,\varepsilon}\cdot v<C_5(1+|v|)\varepsilon}\cdots.$$

According to Lemma 9, we decompose

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{n_m(0,0)\cdot v\le C_5(1+|v|)\varepsilon}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon}}(x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2,\eta_m(x_1,x_2)-y_3,v)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times e^{-\theta|v|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v+u|^2}|n_m(x-y)\cdot v|\mathrm{d}v\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta_m(x_1,x_2)|^2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\\
&=\underbrace{\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{\left\{-s_*C_2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\le n_m(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\le C_5\frac{1+|v|}{|v|}\varepsilon\right\}}\cdots}_{(\mathbf{I})}\qquad(101)\\
&\quad+\underbrace{\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{\left\{-1\le n_m(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\le -s_*C_2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right\}}\cdots}_{(\mathbf{II})}.
\end{aligned}$$

First we consider (**I**). If $-s_*C_2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\le n_m(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\le 0$ then $0\le v_3=-n_m(0,0)\cdot v\le s_*C_2|v|\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and for $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$

$$0\ \le\ v_3\ \le\ 2s_*C_2\sqrt{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
|n_m(x-y)\cdot v| &\le |n_m(0,0)\cdot v|+||n_m||_{C^1([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)}(C_*+1/\tilde{C})|v|\varepsilon\\
&\le s_*C_2|v|\sqrt{\varepsilon}+4||\eta_m||_{C^2([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)}(C_*+1/\tilde{C})|v|\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

If $n_m(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\le C_5\frac{1+|v|}{|v|}\varepsilon$ then for $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$

$$|v_3|=|n_m(0,0)\cdot v|\le 2C_5(1+\sqrt{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2})\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{I})&=\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{0\le v_3\le 2s_*C_2\sqrt{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}}e^{-\theta|v|^2}\left\{s_*C_2|v|\sqrt{\varepsilon}+4||\eta_m||_{C^2([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)}(C_*+1/\tilde{C})|v|\varepsilon\right\}\\
&\quad+\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{|v_3|\le 2C_5(1+\sqrt{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2})\varepsilon}e^{-\theta|v|^2}\\
&\lesssim \mathrm{m}_2([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)\times\sqrt{\varepsilon}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}v_2\ e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v_1|^2}e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}|v_2|^2}\int_0^{2s_*C_2\sqrt{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\mathrm{d}v_3\\
&\quad+\mathrm{m}_2([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)\times\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathrm{d}v_1\mathrm{d}v_2\ e^{-\theta|v_1|^2}e^{-\theta|v_2|^2}\int_0^{2C_5(1+\sqrt{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2})\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}v_3\\
&\lesssim\varepsilon^3.
\end{aligned}\qquad(102)$$

We decompose (**II**), according to Lemma 9:

$$(\mathbf{II})=\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{|v|<\varepsilon^{1/3}}+\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{\left\{-1\le n_m(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\le -s_*C_2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\ \text{ and }\ |v|\ge\varepsilon^{1/3}\right\}}.$$

The first term is clear bounded by $O(1)\varepsilon^3$. For the second term we use (89) to have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\{-1\le n_m(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}\le -s_*C_2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\text{ and }|v|\ge\varepsilon^{1/3}\Big\}\\ \subset\ &\Big\{|n_m(0,0)\cdot\frac{v}{|v|}|\le C_4\sqrt{\varepsilon}\text{ and }|v|\ge\varepsilon^{1/3}\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we follow the same proof for (102) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{II})\ &\lesssim\ \varepsilon^3+\int_{[-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2}\int_{|v_3|\le 2C_4\sqrt{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\\ &\qquad\qquad\times e^{-\theta|v|^2}\Big\{C_4|v|\sqrt{\varepsilon}+4||\eta_m||_{C^2([-C_*\varepsilon,C_*\varepsilon]^2)}(C_*+1/\tilde{C})|v|\varepsilon\Big\}\\ &\lesssim\ \varepsilon^3.\end{aligned}\tag{103}$$

We conclude (101) from (102) and (103). □

5 Linear and Nonlinear Estimates

We consider f solving (14) and satisfying the in-flow boundary condition

$$f(t,x,v)|_{\gamma_-}=g(t,x,v).\tag{104}$$

Let $\{\tau_1(x),\tau_2(x)\}$ be bases of the tangent space at $x\in\partial\Omega$ (therefore $\{\tau_1(x),\tau_2(x),n(x)\}$ is orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{R}^3$). Denote ∂_{τ_i} to be the (tangential) τ_i-directional derivative and ∂_n to be the normal derivative.

Lemma 10. *Assume $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\subset\mathcal{U}$. Assume*

$$f_0(x,v)\equiv 0,\ g(t,x,v)\equiv 0,\ H(t,x,v)\equiv 0,\quad \textit{for}\quad (t,x,v)\in[0,T]\times\{\mathcal{U}\cap(\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3)\}.\tag{105}$$

Assume further that for $0<\theta<\frac{1}{4}$,

$$e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0\in L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3),\ e^{\theta|v|^2}g\in L^\infty([0,T]\times\gamma_-),\ e^{\theta|v|^2}H\in L^\infty([0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and

$$\nabla_x f_0,\ \nabla_v f_0\in\ L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3),$$

$$\partial_{\tau_i}g,\ \frac{1}{n(x)\cdot v}\Big\{-\partial_t g-\sum_i(v\cdot\tau_i)\partial_{\tau_i}g-\nu g+H\Big\},\ \nabla_v g, e^{-\theta|v|^2}\nabla_x\nu, e^{-\theta|v|^2}\nabla_v\nu\ \in\ L^1([0,T]\times\gamma_-),$$

$$\nabla_x H,\ \nabla_v H,\ e^{-\theta|v|^2}\nabla_x\nu,\ e^{-\theta|v|^2}\nabla_v\nu\ \in\ L^1([0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Then there exists a unique solution f to the transport equation (14) with in-flow boundary condition (104) such that $e^{\theta|v|^2}f\in C^0([0,T]\times\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\nabla_x f,\ \nabla_v f\in C^0([0,T];L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3))$ and the traces satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_x f=\nabla_x g,\quad \nabla_v f=\nabla_v g,\quad \textit{on }\gamma_-,\\ &\nabla_x f(0,x,v)=\nabla_x f_0,\quad \nabla_v f(0,x,v)=\nabla_v f_0,\quad \textit{in }\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3,\end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_x g$ *is defined by*

$$\nabla_x g = \sum_{i=1,2} \tau_i \partial_{\tau_i} g + \frac{n}{n\cdot v}\big\{ -\partial_t g - \sum_i (v\cdot\tau_i)\partial_{\tau_i} g - \nu g + H\big\}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} &||\nabla_x f(t)||_1 + \int_0^t |\nabla_x f|_{\gamma_+,1} + \int_0^t ||\nu \nabla_x f||_1 \\ &\qquad = ||\nabla_x f_0||_1 + \int_0^t |\nabla_x g|_{\gamma_-,1} + \int_0^t \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{sgn}(\nabla_x f)\big\{\nabla_x H - \nabla_x \nu f\big\}, \qquad (106) \\ &||\nabla_v f(t)||_1 + \int_0^t |\nabla_v f|_{\gamma_+,1} + \int_0^t ||\nu \nabla_v f||_1 \\ &\qquad = ||\nabla_v f_0||_1 + \int_0^t |\nabla_v g|_{\gamma_-,1} + \int_0^t \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{sgn}(\nabla_v f)\big\{\nabla_v H - \nabla_x f - \nabla_v \nu f\big\}. \end{aligned} \qquad (107)$$

Proof. We use the Duhamel formula of f:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t,x,v) = {} & \mathbf{1}_{\{t<t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}} e^{-\int_0^t \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} f_0(x-tv,v) \\ & + \mathbf{1}_{\{t>t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}} e^{-\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)} \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} g(t-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v), v) \\ & + \int_0^{\min\{t,t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\}} e^{-\int_0^s \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} H(t-s,x-sv,v)\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned} \qquad (108)$$

Following Proposition 1 of [5], we have, on $\{t \neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_x f(t,x,v)\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{t<t_{\mathbf{b}}\}} e^{-\int_0^t \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} \left\{ \nabla_x f_0(x-tv,v) - \left(\int_0^t \nabla_x \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau\right) f_0(x-tv,v)\right\} \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\{t>t_B\}} e^{-\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}} \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \partial_{\tau_i} g - \frac{n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}{v\cdot n(x_B)}\big\{\partial_t g + \sum_{i=1}^2 (v\cdot\tau_i)\partial_{\tau_i} g + \nu g - H\big\}\Big\}(t-t_{\mathbf{b}}, x_{\mathbf{b}}, v) \\ &- \mathbf{1}_{\{t>t_B\}} e^{-\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}} \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} \left(\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}} \nabla_x \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau\right) g(t-t_{\mathbf{b}}, x_{\mathbf{b}}, v) \\ &+ \int_0^{\min(t,t_B)} e^{-\int_0^s \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} \nabla_x H(t-s,x-vs,v)\mathrm{d}s \\ &- \int_0^{\min(t,t_B)} e^{-\int_0^s \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau} \left(\int_0^s \nabla_x \nu(s-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau\right) H(t-s,x-vs,v)\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned} \qquad (109)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_v f(t,x,v)\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}\\
&=\mathbf{1}_{\{t<t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}e^{-\int_0^t \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau}[-t\nabla_x f_0+\nabla_v f_0](x-tv,v)\\
&-\mathbf{1}_{\{t<t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}e^{-\int_0^t \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau}\int_0^t\{-\tau\nabla_x\nu+\nabla_v\nu\}(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau f_0(x-tv,v)\\
&-\mathbf{1}_{\{t>t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}t_{\mathbf{b}}e^{-\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}} \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^2\tau_i\partial_{\tau_i}g-\frac{n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}{v\cdot n(x_{\mathbf{b}})}\big\{\partial_t g+\sum_{i=1}^2(v\cdot\tau_i)\partial_{\tau_i}g+\nu g-H\big\}\Big\}(t-t_{\mathbf{b}},x_{\mathbf{b}},v)\\
&+\mathbf{1}_{\{t>t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}e^{-\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}} \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big\{\nabla_v g(t-t_{\mathbf{b}},x_{\mathbf{b}},v)\Big\}\\
&-\mathbf{1}_{\{t>t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}e^{-\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}} \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big\{\int_0^{t_{\mathbf{b}}}\{-\tau\nabla_x\nu+\nabla_v\nu\}(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau\Big\}g(t-t_{\mathbf{b}},x_{\mathbf{b}},v)\\
&+\int_0^{\min(t,t_{\mathbf{b}})}e^{-\int_0^s \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau}\{\nabla_v H-s\nabla_x H\}(t-s,x-vs,v)\mathrm{d}s\\
&-\int_0^{\min(t,t_{\mathbf{b}})}e^{-\int_0^s \nu(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau}\{\int_0^s\{-\tau\nabla_x\nu+\nabla_v\nu\}(t-\tau,x-\tau v,v)\mathrm{d}\tau\}H(t-s,x-vs,v)\mathrm{d}s
\end{aligned}
\tag{110}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_x f(t)\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}\|_1 \lesssim\ & \|\nabla_x f_0\|_1+t\{||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty+||e^{\theta|v|^2}g||_\infty\}\\
&+\int_0^t\Big|\sum_{i=1}^2\tau_i\partial_{\tau_i}g-\frac{n}{v\cdot n}\big\{\partial_t g+\sum_{i=1}^2(v\cdot\tau_i)\partial_{\tau_i}g+\nu g-H\big\}\Big|_{\gamma_-,1}\\
&+\int_0^t\|\nabla_x H(s)\|_1+\int_0^t s||e^{\theta|v|^2}H(s)||_\infty
\end{aligned}
\tag{111}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_v f(t)\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}\|_1 \lesssim\ & t\|\nabla_x f_0\|_1+\|\nabla_v f_0\|_1+t\|e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0\|_\infty\\
&+t\int_0^t\Big|\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^2\tau_i\partial_{\tau_i}g-\frac{n}{v\cdot n}\big\{\partial_t g+\sum_{i=1}^2(v\cdot\tau_i)\partial_{\tau_i}g+\nu g-H\big\}\Big\}\Big|_{\gamma_-,1}\\
&+\int_0^t|\nabla_v g|_{\gamma_-,1}+t^2\sup_{0\le s\le t}|e^{\theta|v|^2}g(s)|_{\gamma_-,\infty}\\
&+\int_0^t\|\nabla_x H\|_1+\int_0^t\|\nabla_v H\|_1+C\int_0^t\|e^{\theta|v|^2}H\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

From our assumption, $f_0,\ g$, and H have compact supports and the RHS are bounded. Therefore

$$
\partial f\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}=[\partial_t f\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}},\ \nabla_x f\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}},\ \nabla_v f\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}]\in\ L^\infty([0,T];L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)).
$$

Since $\partial f\equiv 0$ around $\{t=t_{\mathbf{b}}\}$ clearly $\partial f\mathbf{1}_{\{t\neq t_{\mathbf{b}}\}}$ is the distributional derivative of f. Therefore $\nabla_x f$ and $\nabla_v f$ lie in $L^\infty([0,T];L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3))$; this allows us to apply Lemma 2 to compute the traces on the incoming boundary in $L^1([0,T];L^1(\gamma_-,\mathrm{d}\gamma))$ (by taking limits of the flow along

the characteristics: see the proof of Proposition 1 in [5] for details). Then, by Green's identity (Lemma 3) we know that $\nabla_x f$ and $\nabla_v f$ lie in $C^0([0,T];L^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3))$ and we get (106) and (107). □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $f_0 \in BV(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty < \infty$ we choose $f_0^\varepsilon \in BV(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)\cap C^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $||e^{\theta|v|^2}[f_0^\varepsilon - f_0]||_\infty \to 0$ and $||\nabla_{x,v} f_0^\varepsilon||_1 \to ||f_0||_{\tilde{BV}}$.

Consider the sequence $f^{\varepsilon,m}$ defined by $f^{\varepsilon,0} =$ and for all $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f^{\varepsilon,m+1} + v\cdot\nabla_x f^{\varepsilon,m+1} + \nu(\sqrt{\mu}f^{\varepsilon,m})f^{\varepsilon,m+1} &= \chi_\varepsilon \Gamma_{\text{gain}}(f^{\varepsilon,m}, f^{\varepsilon,m}), \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\
f^{\varepsilon,m}(0,x,v) &= \chi_\varepsilon f_0^\varepsilon(x,v), \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\
f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(t,x,v) = \chi_\varepsilon(x,v)c_\mu\sqrt{\mu(v)}\int_{n(x)\cdot u>0} & f^{\varepsilon,m}(t,x,u)\sqrt{\mu}\{n\cdot u\}\mathrm{d}u, \ \text{ on } \ \gamma_-,
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

where χ_ε is defined in (77). Clearly for a fixed $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$, $(f^{\varepsilon,m})_m$ is Cauchy for the norm $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}||e^{\theta|v|^2}\cdot||_\infty$ for $0<\theta<\frac{1}{4}$ and some $0<T\ll 1$ (Lemma 6 of [5]). Therefore $f^{\varepsilon,m}\to f^\varepsilon$ for the norm $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}||e^{\theta|v|^2}\cdot||_\infty$ and f^ε satisfies (112) with $f^{\varepsilon,m+1}$ and $f^{\varepsilon,m}$ replaced by f^ε. Since $|\chi_\varepsilon|\leq 1$ for $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$, $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}||e^{\theta|v|^2}f^\varepsilon(t)||_\infty$ is uniformly bounded in ε for $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$ and $0<T\ll 1$. Therefore $f^\varepsilon \to f$ weak$-*$ up to a subsequence and the limiting function f solves the Boltzmann equation with the diffuse boundary condition in the sense of distributions.

Now we consider the derivatives of the solution $f^{\varepsilon,m}$ of (112). Due to the smooth approximation f_0^ε of the initial datum f_0 and the cut-off χ_ε, $f^{\varepsilon,m}$ is smooth by Lemma 10. We take derivatives $\partial\in\{\partial_x,\partial_v\}$ to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big[\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x + \nu(\sqrt{\mu}f^{\varepsilon,m})\big]\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}\\
&= -\partial v\cdot\nabla_x f^{\varepsilon,m+1} - \nu(\partial[\sqrt{\mu}f^{\varepsilon,m}])f^{\varepsilon,m+1} + O(1)e^{-\theta|v|^2}\partial\nu||e^{\theta|v|^2}f^{\varepsilon,m}||_\infty||e^{\theta|v|^2}f^{\varepsilon,m+1}||_\infty\\
&\qquad + \partial\chi_\varepsilon\Gamma_{\text{gain}}(f^{\varepsilon,m},f^{\varepsilon,m}) + \chi_\varepsilon\partial[\Gamma_{\text{gain}}(f^{\varepsilon,m},f^{\varepsilon,m})],\\
&\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(0,x,v) = \partial\chi_\varepsilon f_0^\varepsilon(x,v) + \chi_\varepsilon\partial f_0^\varepsilon(x,v),
\end{aligned}$$

and, for all $(x,v)\in\gamma_-$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(t,x,v)| \lesssim \sqrt{\mu(v)}\left(1+\frac{\langle v\rangle}{|n(x)\cdot v|}\right)&\int_{n(x)\cdot u>0}|\partial f^{\varepsilon,m}(t,x,u)|\mu(u)^{\frac{1}{4}}\{n(x)\cdot u\}\mathrm{d}u\\
&+\frac{\langle v\rangle^\kappa e^{-C_\theta|v|^2}}{|n(x)\cdot v|}||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty + |\partial\chi_\varepsilon(x,v)\sqrt{\mu(v)}|P(||e^{\theta|v|^2}f_0||_\infty),
\end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial P. Then by Proposition 10 and $\sqrt{\mu}f^{\varepsilon,m} \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(t)||_1 + \int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)|_{\gamma_+,1} \\
&\lesssim ||e^{-\theta'|v|^2}\partial\chi_\varepsilon||_1||e^{\theta'|v|^2}f_0||_\infty + ||\partial f_0^\varepsilon||_1 + \int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)|_{\gamma_-} \\
&\quad + \int_0^t ||\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)||_1 \mathrm{d}s + P(||e^{\theta|v|^2}f^{\varepsilon,m}||_\infty) \\
&\qquad \times \Big\{ t + t\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-C_\theta|v|^2}|\partial\chi_\varepsilon| + \int_0^t ||\partial f^{\varepsilon,m}(s)||_1 \mathrm{d}s \Big\},
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

where we have used Lemma 5 of [5] : for any function $g = g(x,v)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\partial\Gamma_{\text{gain}}(g,g)||_1 \\
\lesssim\ & ||e^{\theta|v|^2}g||_\infty \Big\{ |\partial x|||\nabla_x g||_1 + |\partial v|||\nabla_v g||_1 \Big\} + \langle v\rangle^\kappa e^{-\theta|v|^2}|\partial v|||e^{\theta|v|^2}g||_\infty^2, \\
& \iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\nu(\partial[\sqrt{\mu}g])g|\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x \\
\lesssim\ & ||e^{\theta|v|^2}g||_\infty \int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}|v-u|^2}|\partial g(u)|\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}x \lesssim ||e^{\theta|v|^2}g||_\infty||\partial g||_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 to (113), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(t)||_1 + \int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)|_{\gamma_+,1} \\
&\lesssim ||e^{\theta'|v|^2}f_0||_\infty + ||f_0||_{BV} + \int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)|_{\gamma_-,1} \\
&\quad + t[1 + P(||e^{\theta'|v|^2}f_0||_\infty)] \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} ||\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)||_1 \mathrm{d}s + tP(||e^{\theta'|v|^2}f_0||_\infty).
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

On the other hand, we apply Proposition 2 and Lemma 2 to bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}|_{\gamma_-,1} \lesssim\ & O(\delta)\int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m-1}|_{\gamma_+,1} + C_\delta\{||f_0||_{BV} + tP(||e^{\theta'|v|^2}f_0||_\infty)\} \\
& + C_\delta t[1 + P(||e^{\theta'|v|^2}f_0||_\infty)] \max_{i=m,m-1} \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} ||\partial f^{\varepsilon,i}(s)||_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

Finally from (114) and (115), chosing $\delta \ll 1$ and $T := T(f_0)$ small enough, we have for all $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\leq s\leq t} ||\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)||_1 + \int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m+1}(s)|_{\gamma,1} \\
&\leq C\{||f_0||_{BV} + P(||e^{\theta'|v|^2}f_0||_\infty)\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{8}\max_{i=m,m-1}\Big\{ \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} ||\partial f^{\varepsilon,i}(s)||_1 + \int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,i}|_{\gamma,1} \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the fact from [2]: Suppose $a_i \geq 0, D \geq 0$ and $A_i = \max\{a_i, \cdots, a_{i-(k-1)}\}$ for fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a_{m+1} \leq \frac{1}{8}A_m + D$ then $A_m \leq \frac{1}{8}A_0 + \left(\frac{8}{7}\right)^2 D$ for $m/k \gg 1$. Hence

$$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t} ||\partial f^{\varepsilon,m}(s)||_1 + \int_0^t |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m}(s)|_{\gamma,1} \lesssim ||f_0||_{BV} + P(||e^{\theta|v|^2} f_0||_\infty) \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{116}$$

Now we pass the to limit in m and then in ε to conclude the main theorem. It is standard that $BV(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ has i) a *compactness* property:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Suppose } f^k \in BV \text{ and } \sup_k ||f^k||_{BV} < \infty \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{then } \exists\ f \in BV \text{ with } f^k \to f \text{ in } L^1 \text{ up to subsequence,} \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

and ii) a *lower semicontinuity* property:

$$\text{Suppose } f^k \in BV \text{ and } f^k \to f \text{ in } L^1_{loc} \text{ then } ||f||_{\tilde{BV}} \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} ||f^k||_{\tilde{BV}}. \tag{118}$$

Applying (117) and (118) we conclude

$$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t} ||f(s)||_{BV} \lesssim ||f_0||_{BV} + P(||e^{\theta|v|^2} f_0||_\infty).$$

For the boundary term we use the *weak compactness of measures*: If σ^k is a signed Radon measures on $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $\sup_k \sigma^k(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3) < \infty$ then there exists a Radon measure σ such that $\sigma^k \rightharpoonup \sigma$ in $\mathcal{M}$.

More precisely we define, for any Lebesgue-measurable set $A \subset \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\varepsilon,m}(A) &= \left(\sigma^{\varepsilon,m}_{x^1}(A), \sigma^{\varepsilon,m}_{x^2}(A), \sigma^{\varepsilon,m}_{x^3}(A), \sigma^{\varepsilon,m}_{v^1}(A), \sigma^{\varepsilon,m}_{v^2}(A), \sigma^{\varepsilon,m}_{v^3}(A)\right)^T \\ &:= \int_A \nabla_{x,v} f^{\varepsilon,m} \mathrm{d}\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^6. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a Radon measure σ such that $\sigma^{\varepsilon,m} \rightharpoonup \sigma$ in $\mathcal{M}$, i.e.

$$\int_{\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} g\partial f^{\varepsilon,m} \mathrm{d}\gamma \to \int_{\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} g \mathrm{d}\sigma \quad \text{for all } \ g \in C^0_c(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3). \tag{119}$$

It is standard (Hahn's decomposition theorem) to decompose $\sigma = \sigma_+ - \sigma_-$ with $\sigma_\pm \geq 0$. Denote $|\sigma|_{\mathcal{M}(\gamma)} = \sigma_+(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3) + \sigma_-(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then by the lower semicontinuity property of measures we have $|\sigma|_{\mathcal{M}(\gamma)} \leq \liminf |\sigma^{\varepsilon,m}|_{\mathcal{M}(\gamma)} = \liminf |\partial f^{\varepsilon,m}|_{L^1(\gamma)} \lesssim ||f_0||_{BV} + P(||e^{\theta|v|^2} f_0||_\infty)$. Due to (119), the (distributional) derivatives $\nabla_{x,v} f|_\gamma$ equals the Radon measure σ on $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ in the sense of distribution.

□

Appendix. $\mathfrak{S}_{\rm B}$ is a Co-Dimension 1 subset

We prove **Remark 1**. It suffices to show that $\mathfrak{S}_{\rm B}\cap\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$ is a co-dimension 1 submanifold of $\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$. More precisely we will show that if $(x_0,v_0)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfies $n(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_0,v_0))\cdot v_0=0$ and the boundary is strictly non-convex (10) at $(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_0,v_0),v_0)$ then there exists $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$ such that the following set is a 5 dimensional submanifold:

$$\big\{(x,v)\in\mathfrak{S}_{\rm B}\cap B((x_0,v_0);\varepsilon):x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)\sim x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_0,v_0)\big\}\subset\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{120}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_0,v_0)=(0,0,0)=\mathbf{0}$ and $v_0=\mathbf{e}_1$ and $n(0,0,0)=-\mathbf{e}_3$ so that $\partial\Omega$ is locally a graph of a function $\eta:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ and $\nabla\eta(0,0)=\mathbf{0}$. Therefore the strictly non-convex condition (10) at $(x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_0,v_0),v_0)=(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_1)$ implies

$$\partial_1\partial_1\eta(0,0)\neq 0. \tag{121}$$

Clearly, (120) is contained in

$$\big\{(x+sv,v)\in\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3:x\in\partial\Omega,\ n(x)\cdot v=0,\ (x,v)\sim(x_0,v_0),\ s\in[0,\infty)\big\}. \tag{122}$$

Consider $(x,v)\sim(x_0,v_0)$. We choose two basis for the tangent space:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\\partial_1\eta\end{pmatrix},\\ \tau_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\eta|^2}\sqrt{1+(\partial_1\eta)^2}}\begin{pmatrix}-\partial_1\eta\partial_2\eta\\1+(\partial_1\eta)^2\\\partial_2\eta\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

For $(x_1,x_2,\theta,r_v,s)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,2\pi)\times[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)$ we write $(x+sv,v)$ in (122) as

$$\begin{aligned}X(x_1,x_2,\theta,r_v,s)&:=\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\\\eta(x_1,x_2)\end{pmatrix}+sr_v\cos\theta\ \tau_1(x_1,x_2)+sr_v\sin\theta\ \tau_2(x_1,x_2),\\ V(x_1,x_2,\theta,r_v,s)&:=r_v\cos\theta\ \tau_1(x_1,x_2)+r_v\sin\theta\ \tau_2(x_1,x_2).\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove Remark 1 it suffices to show that the followings are linearly independent

$$\begin{pmatrix}\partial_{x_1}X\\\partial_{x_1}V\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}\partial_{x_2}X\\\partial_{x_2}V\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}\partial_{\theta}X\\\partial_{\theta}V\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}\partial_{s}X\\\partial_{s}V\end{pmatrix},\ \begin{pmatrix}\partial_{r_v}X\\\partial_{r_v}V\end{pmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^6.$$

It suffices to show that the normal is non-vanishing:

$$\mathcal{N}:=\det\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{e}_1&\mathbf{e}_2&\mathbf{e}_3&\mathbf{e}_4&\mathbf{e}_5&\mathbf{e}_6\\ \partial_{x_1}X_1&\partial_{x_1}X_2&\partial_{x_1}X_3&\partial_{x_1}V_1&\partial_{x_1}V_2&\partial_{x_1}V_3\\ \partial_{x_2}X_1&\partial_{x_2}X_2&\partial_{x_2}X_3&\partial_{x_2}V_1&\partial_{x_2}V_2&\partial_{x_2}V_3\\ \partial_{\theta}X_1&\partial_{\theta}X_2&\partial_{\theta}X_3&\partial_{\theta}V_1&\partial_{\theta}V_2&\partial_{\theta}V_3\\ \partial_{s}X_1&\partial_{s}X_2&\partial_{s}X_3&0&0&0\\ \partial_{r_v}X_1&\partial_{r_v}X_2&\partial_{r_v}X_3&\partial_{r_v}V_1&\partial_{r_v}V_2&\partial_{r_v}V_3\end{pmatrix}.$$

To compute the normal we need to know

$$\partial_1\tau_1(x_1,x_2) = \frac{\partial_1^2\eta}{[1+(\nabla\eta)^2]^{3/2}}\begin{pmatrix}-\partial_1\eta\\0\\1\end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial_2\eta}{[1+(\nabla\eta)^2]^{3/2}}\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\partial_2\eta\partial_1^2\eta-\partial_1\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\partial_2\tau_1(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{[1+(\nabla\eta)^2]^{1/2}}\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\partial_1\partial_2\eta\end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{[1+(\nabla\eta)^2]^{3/2}}\begin{pmatrix}\nabla\eta\cdot\nabla\partial_2\eta\\0\\\partial_1\eta\nabla\eta\cdot\nabla\partial_2\eta\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_1(\tau_2)_1 &= \frac{(\partial_1\eta)^2\partial_2\eta\partial_1^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{3/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}} + \frac{(\partial_1\eta)^2\partial_2\eta\partial_1^2\eta+\partial_1\eta(\partial_2\eta)^2\partial_1\partial_2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{3/2}}\\
&\quad - \frac{\partial_1^2\eta\partial_2\eta+\partial_1\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}},\\
\partial_2(\tau_2)_1 &= \frac{\partial_1\eta\partial_2\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{3/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}} + \frac{(\partial_1\eta)^2\partial_2\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta+\partial_1\eta(\partial_2\eta)^2\partial_2^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{3/2}}\\
&\quad - \frac{\partial_1\partial_2\eta\partial_2\eta+\partial_1\eta\partial_2^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}},\\
\partial_1(\tau_2)_2 &= \frac{\partial_1\eta\partial_1^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}} - \frac{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[\partial_1\eta\partial_1^2\eta+\partial_2\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta]}{[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{3/2}},\\
\partial_2(\tau_2)_2 &= \frac{\partial_1\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}} - \frac{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[\partial_1\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta+\partial_2\eta\partial_2^2\eta]}{[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{3/2}},\\
\partial_1(\tau_2)_3 &= -\frac{\partial_1\eta\partial_2\eta\partial_1^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{3/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}} - \frac{\partial_1\eta\partial_2\eta\partial_1^2\eta+(\partial_2\eta)^2\partial_1\partial_2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{3/2}}\\
&\quad + \frac{\partial_1\partial_2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}},\\
\partial_2(\tau_2)_3 &= -\frac{\partial_1\eta\partial_2\eta\partial_2^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{3/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}} - \frac{\partial_1\eta\partial_2\eta\partial_1\partial_2\eta+(\partial_2\eta)^2\partial_2^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{3/2}}\\
&\quad + \frac{\partial_2^2\eta}{[1+(\partial_1\eta)^2]^{1/2}[1+|\nabla\eta|^2]^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate the normal at $(x_1,x_2,\theta,s,r_v)=(0,0,0,s,r_v)$. Since $\partial_1\eta(0,0)=0=\partial_2\eta(0,0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&n(0,0)=\mathbf{e}_3,\quad \tau_1(0,0)=\mathbf{e}_1,\quad \tau_2(0,0)=\mathbf{e}_2,\\
&\partial_1\tau_1(0,0)=\partial_1\partial_1\eta(0,0)\mathbf{e}_3,\quad \partial_2\tau_1(0,0)=\partial_1\partial_2\eta(0,0)\mathbf{e}_3,\\
&\partial_1\tau_2(0,0)=\partial_1\partial_2\eta(0,0)\mathbf{e}_3,\quad \partial_2\tau_2(0,0)=\partial_2\partial_2\eta(0,0)\mathbf{e}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to (121) we have

$$\mathcal{N}(0,0,0,s,r_v) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 & \mathbf{e}_4 & \mathbf{e}_5 & \mathbf{e}_6 \\ 1 & 0 & -s\partial_1\partial_1\eta & 0 & 0 & -r_v\partial_1\partial_1\eta \\ 0 & 1 & -s\partial_1\partial_2\eta & 0 & 0 & -r_v\partial_1\partial_2\eta \\ 0 & s & 0 & 0 & r_v & 0 \\ r_v & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ r_v^2\partial_1\partial_1\eta(0,0) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ sr_v\partial_1\partial_1\eta(0,0) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{N}(x_1, x_2, \theta, s, r_v) \neq 0$ for $(x_1, x_2, \theta) \sim (0,0,0)$. This proves the claim.

Acknowledgements: This project was initiated during the Kinetic Program at ICERM, 2011. Y. Guo's research is supported in part by NSFC grant #10828103 and NSF grant #DMS-1209437. C.Kim's research is supported in part by the Herchel Smith fund at the University of Cambridge. He thanks Brown University and the Academia Sinica for the kind hospitality and support during his stay. A. Trescases thanks the Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University for the kind hospitality during her visit.

References

[1] Cercignani, C.; Illner, R.; Pulvirenti, M.: *The mathematical theory of dilute gases.* Applied Mathematical Sciences, 106. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1994)

[2] Esposito, R.; Guo, Y.; Kim, C. ; Marra, R.: Non-Isothermal Boundary in the Boltzmann Theory and Fourier Law. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 323 (2013) 177–239.

[3] Guo, Y.: Singular Solutions of the Vlasov-Maxwell System on a Half Line. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 131 (1995) 241–304.

[4] Guo, Y.: Decay and Continuity of Boltzmann Equation in Bounded Domains. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 197 (2010) 713–809.

[5] Guo, Y.; Kim, C.; Tonon, D.; Trescases, A.: Regularity of the Boltzmann Equation in Convex Domains, *submitted*

[6] Kim, C.: Formation and propagation of discontinuity for Boltzmann equation in non-convex domains. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 308 (2011) 641–701.

Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02812, U.S.A., Yan_Guo@brown.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, U.S.A, ckim@math.wisc.edu

CEREMADE, Université Paris Dauphine, Place du Maréchal De Lattre De Tassigny, 75775, Paris, France, tonon@ceremade.dauphine.fr

CMLA, ENS Cachan, 61, avenue du Président Wilson, 94235 Cachan, France, trescase@cmla.ens-cachan.fr